The effect of balanced versus unbalanced football small-sided games on decision-making in youth football players
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Abstract. Decision-making (DM) is an important ability targeted in the formation of young football players that can improve by using small-sided games (SSGs). The main purpose of this study was to assess players' DM in different balanced and unbalanced SSG formats keeping the play area constant. The sample was composed of 10 field players plus 2 goalkeepers (13.55±0.51 years). This study consisted of 3 sessions, one day for each session, with an interval of 48 hours apart between sessions. Three different SSG formats (4vs.4; 4vs.5; 4vs.6), in a play area of 40x30 meters, were selected. The outcome was the decision-making index (DMI), assessed with the Game Performance Assessment Instrument (GPAI). Results showed that on two of the three days, there were statistical differences in DMI across the three different SSG formats. In the 4vs.6 format a higher median score than in the 4vs.4 format was recorded on day 2 (U=13.0, z=-2.34, p=.025, r=.57) and day 3 (U=5.50, z=-2.98, p=.003, r=.72). In conclusion, the use of unbalanced SSG formats in the training may benefit DM development, and therefore may constitute an important factor to be considered in the formation of young football players.
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Resumen. La toma de decisiones (TD) es una habilidad importante dirigida a la formación de jugadores de fútbol jóvenes que puede mejorar mediante el uso de juegos reducidos (JR). El objetivo principal de este estudio fue evaluar el TD de los jugadores en diferentes formatos en JR equilibrados y desequilibrados manteniendo constante el área de juego. La muestra estuvo compuesta por 10 jugadores de campo más 2 porteros (13,55 ± 0,51 años). Este estudio consistió en 3 sesiones, un día por cada sesión, con un intervalo de 48 horas entre sesiones. Se seleccionaron tres formatos diferentes (4vs.4; 4vs.5; 4vs.6), en un área de juego de 40x30 metros. El resultado fue el índice de toma de decisiones (ITD), evaluado con el Game Performance Assessment Instrument (GPAI). Los resultados mostraron que, en dos de los tres días, hubo diferencias estadísticas en ITD en los tres diferentes formatos. En el formato 4vs.6 se registró una puntuación media más alta que en el formato 4vs.4 el día 2 (U=13.0, z=-2.34, p=.025, r=.57) y el día 3 (U=5.50, z=-2.98, p=.003, r=.72). En conclusión, el uso de JR desequilibrados en el entrenamiento puede beneficiar el desarrollo de la TD y, por tanto, puede constituir un factor importante a considerar en la formación de futbolistas jóvenes.

Palabras clave: Toma de decisiones; juegos reducidos; equilibrados; desequilibrados. (Endnotes)

Introduction

The evolution and development of new training methodologies are crucial for the progress of collective sports since it allows the optimization of the team performance and development of each player. The literature has shown for some time that future research should emphasize changes in basic knowledge of the game, motor patterns, and, above all, the behaviors and skills of the game (Turner & Martin, 1995; French & McPherson, 2004). It is also underlined that the priority in approaching and training the tactical-technical component should fall on the use of small-sided games (SSGs) instead of using analytical situations (Davids et al., 2013; Clemente, Mendes, & Rocha, 2012; Grehaing, Richard, & Griffin, 2012). There is unequivocally the potential of SSGs for the development of the game skills. Besides, the game action itself is more adjusted to natural interests and motivations of the players since it combines the development of the tactical-technical component through real game contexts (Hill-Haas et al., 2011; Dellal et al., 2012; Davids et al., 2013).

Although there is evidence about the contribution of SSGs to the development of the tactical-technical component of the game, and its regular use by coaches...
(Clemente et al., 2012; Michailidis, 2013; Sgró et al., 2018; Torreblanca-Martínez et al., 2018; Menegassi et al., 2020), a single SSG format does not answer to all requirements needed. It is important to underline that the use of SSGs does not mean that the objectives for which they were conceived are being achieved or whether the formats used are the most adjusted to the intended training context. More studies on the topic are required to better understand the impact of different game constraints on the needs of players.

For some time now, the literature has not only recommended that the different formats of SSGs and the different variables that compose them await a scientific demonstration on their effectiveness, it also advises that future studies should analyze changes in basic knowledge, in motor patterns, and, above all, in the behaviors and skills of playing associated with DM in young practitioners (French & McPherson, 2004; Baldi et al., 2016). Studies like Ric et al. (2016) and Canton et al. (2019) indicate that no research has assessed the effects of temporary numerical imbalances on the team’s exploratory behavior, and DM is one of the crucial factors in that particularly game component.

DM, identified as an important ability for successful performance in team sports, consists of the use of information generated by the game situation, combined with the player’s capacity to apply his/her knowledge about that same context to plan, select, and execute an action or a set of functional actions among a large number of possible actions, aimed at fulfilling the objective of the game (Williams, 2013; Araújo, 2019). The study of DM is not a normative and linear process, as not only DM but also the factors that influence it (biological maturation, level of practice or skill and knowledge of the game, among others), interact with the different specificities of the diversified and often unpredictable game contexts. DM therefore is an important ability targeted in the formation of young football players, as it assumes an ever-greater preponderance, being an active and continuous process in the search for information to play, where the moment requires immediate action, always being conditioned by what the contexts allow to do, suffering influence from colleagues, opponents, time pressure, among others (Passos et al., 2008; Mills et al., 2012).

DM training is based on the theoretical principles of ecological dynamics, with the manipulation of the task, context, and individual restriction factors, giving rise to the creation of dynamic and real game situations, whether through manipulation of the number of players, the effective playing space, the imposition or alteration of rules, or the conditioning or restrictions of the game action (Araújo et al., 2009; Sarmento et al., 2018). Some studies confirmed that the restriction of tasks and the manipulation of the conditions of practice are fundamental aspects for the tactical-technical development (Correia et al., 2012; Correia et al., 2013; Travassos et al., 2012), however, so far only few studies have analyzed the relationship between specific SSG formats and DM.

Therefore, this study aimed to investigate behaviors associated with the performance of the game, especially those of a tactical nature, estimating the DMI of the players in different balanced and unbalanced SSG formats (4vs.4; 4vs.5; 4vs.6), in a play area with 40x30 meters.

Material and Methods

Sample

The sample was composed of 10 field players plus 2 goalkeepers (13.55±0.51 years). All members belonged to the same team, with 3 training sessions of 75 minutes per week, and the competitive moment at the end of the week. All players were federated for at least 3 years in the Madeira Football Association.

The players were informed about the objectives of the research and its requirements as well as the potential benefits and risks. Participation was voluntary. Informed consent was signed by the parents or legal guardians and the players gave their verbal assent. All procedures followed the guidelines stated in the Declaration of Helsinki and were approved by the Scientific Board of the Department of Physical Education and Sports of the University of Madeira.

Procedures

This study consisted of 3 sessions, one day for each session, with an interval of 48 hours between sessions. The main reason for choosing these 3 assessment days was to have more accurate conclusions from the data collected. 3 different SSG formats were selected, with a duration of 5 minutes of practice and another 5 minutes of rest (passive and hydrated) between each performance. The order of the exercises was randomized on the first day of the study (from 1 to 3), following the same order on the remaining days to be able to study the stability of the results. The complete description of each SSG format and the random order are presented in Table 1. The activities began at 10:30 am and ended at 12 pm every day, including warm-up, game situations, recovering time, cooldown, and stretching. Each session
and game format were filmed using two GOPRO 4, that recorded the game action in the laterality and depth of the game space. The warm-up lasted 15 minutes, starting with a general mobilization and musculoskeletal activation, ending with a ball possession situation and active stretching. The infrastructures where the study took place were located in Funchal, Madeira Portugal. The field, an uncovered synthetic grass, was located at an altitude of 292 meters above sea level, with the dimensions of 100 meters long and 64 meters wide.

The goals used were those of the variant of football 7, with 6 meters in length and 2 meters in height. Replacements of the ball in the game were made by hand-throwing when the ball came out of the sidelines. In the case of goal occurred, the goalkeeper as the freedom to follow with the controlled ball by hand or feet unopposed. In the case of a corner, the ball was set free with the controlled ball by hand or feet unopposed. In the case of a corner, the ball was set

### Table 1. Description of the small-sided games.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Order</th>
<th>Format</th>
<th>Game Space (ratio play area per player)</th>
<th>Observations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st</td>
<td>4 Vs. 6 + Gk</td>
<td>40 x 30 m (120 m²)</td>
<td>Add an element to the same team that placed with 1 elements previously.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>4 Vs. 5 + Gk</td>
<td>40 x 30 m (133 m²)</td>
<td>Remove the added element and 1 more from the team of 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd</td>
<td>4 Vs. 4 + Gk</td>
<td>40 x 30 m (150 m²)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The team’s coach helped in the constitution of the teams, trying to strike a balance between commonly played game functions and individual quality, also taking into account the permanent numerical inferiority of a team over 2 of 3 formats. No request was made for the players to occupy specific functions, only advised to try to maintain an organized structure, taking into account the necessity to defend one goal and attack another. No instructive feedback was provided, just the constant call to keep up the pace and seek to perform the actions with the commitment. The study took place in the middle of the season, during a period without official competition.

A pilot study was performed at the same facilities before the main study, to validate the assessment instruments (Eastern, 2016). The preparation and training of the field team began with 2 theoretical-practical sessions of 2 hours each, in which real football situations were analyzed using GPAI. After this first phase of training, the pilot study that was carried out to validate the assessment protocols and the experimental game situations also was recorded and used by the field team to have another observational training session and to calculate the intraclass correlation coefficients between all observers and the criterion evaluator. The intraclass correlation coefficients between the independent observer and the criterion evaluator of GPAI total score varied between .767 and .367. Given this result, the observers whose records correlated below .700 were excluded from the principal study.

### Statistical Analysis

First, descriptive statistics of DMI scores were reported as Medians and Means. Second, a Kruskal-Wallis test was performed to analyze the difference in DMI scores across three SSG formats (4vs.4; 4vs.5; 4vs.6). Third, a Mann-Whitney U test was individually performed to test differences between DMI between balanced and unbalanced SSG formats. The effect size of the Mann-Whitney U test was calculated from the $z$ value (standardized test statistics) using the following formula: $r = \frac{z}{\sqrt{N}}$, where $N$ is total numbers of cases. All analyses, except for effect size calculation, were performed using the IBM SPSS Statistics software.
(version 25; SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). A level of significance of 5% was applied in all analyses.

**Results**

On the first day, there were no statistical differences in DMI across the three different SSG formats (4vs.4, n=8; 4vs.5, n=9; 4vs.6, n=10), $c^2(2, 26)=4.35$, p=.113. On the second day, there were statistical differences in DMI across the three different SSG formats $c^2(2, 26)=6.46$, p=.040. In the 4vs.6 format a higher median score (Med=.93) than in the 4vs.4 format (Med=.73) was recorded, $U=13.0$, z=-2.34, p=.025, r=.57. Finally, on the third day, there were also statistical differences in DMI across the three different SSG formats $c^2(2, 26)=11.87$, p=.003. In the 4vs.6 format a higher median score (Med=1.00) than in the 4vs.4 format (Med=.65) was recorded, $U=5.50$, z=-2.98, p=.003, r=.72.

**Discussion**

The aim of this study was to assess players' DM in different balanced and unbalanced SSG formats keeping the play area constant. This study provides evidence that in the 4vs.6 format higher DMI scores than in the 4vs.4 format can be recorded when the play area is kept constant. Looking at the formats understudy and based on the results obtained, we can assume that if the goal is to develop and stimulate DM, when using SSGs, the unbalanced game formats tend to be a better option when compared to balanced formats. An inspection of Figure I suggests that the DMI always tended to be descriptively larger in the 4x6 format when compared with the other two formats, meaning that the more unbalanced the format is, the probability of making better decisions appears to rise.

There may be a widespread perception that changes in numerical relationships between teams that attack and defend will induce changes in physical behaviors and in the space-time component, namely in individual and collective tactical performance. This is more perceptible on teams with fewer players as they are competing at a numerical disadvantage. Coaches normally resort to permanent inequality to promote or increase the offensive effectiveness of the team with more elements or, on the other side seeking to improve proficiency in terms of positioning and defensive cohesion on the team with the fewest players (Hill-Haas et al., 2011; Travassos et al., 2014; Sousa et al., 2021). Also, studies about imbalances in the number of players in action, indicate that will most likely be induced changes in the diversity, unpredictability and flexibility of tactical-technical solutions and consequent decision-making capacity. The structural imbalance enhances the emergence of adaptive behaviours, which provides a new rationale for practice task design (Ric et al., 2016; Canton et al., 2019).

Our findings can have a supportive effect on the coaches’ planning of the training sessions, namely for a better understanding of the effects inherent to the manipulation of the number of players to be used in unbalanced and balanced SSG formats. Projecting specific tasks and game contexts using these types of formats, can give an idea of the type of individual behaviors (support actions and efficiency of game skills) and collective (offensive positioning, organization and game principles) behaviors, that occur when there is an adjustment response to possible numerical disadvantages or advantages, with the possibility of transferring this type of behavior to the competition and assisting in creating different game strategies.

Some limitations need to be acknowledged in this study. First, this study included only 12 players from the same team. For future research, we highly recommend the use of larger samples, in addition to the need to modify the sample profile, investigating these formats and constraints in different competitive levels in the youth age-groups, but also for a group of top elite players compared to a group of amateur players. Another important aspect is the need for these studies to be based on a more longitudinal design, to measure variations over a longer period.

On the other hand, some strengths of our study can be underlined. We conducted the same experiment following the same conditions across three consecutive training days to study the stability of the results. Besides, all the assessments followed a well-defined protocol that increases the information quality.

Finally, this study provides evidence about the
usability of unbalanced SSG formats to improve the DM of the players. We conclude that the use of unbalanced SSG formats in training, may benefit DM development, and therefore may constitute an important factor to be considered in the formation of young football players. These are formats that might have great potential to generate contexts that will induce enhancements or development in the player level. Considering the individual capabilities, it is important to understand the need to create more complex game contexts, for players with good DM capability, or adapting the contexts to a lower complexity, if the level of the practitioner is lower. The key is which type of formats and task manipulation can be used, in order to be assertive in training DM.

Further research should assess tactical and strategic aspects, comprehending the influence that these permanent unbalanced formats and playing conditions imply, using GPS sensors and positioning heatmaps to understand the collective organizational dynamics in terms of offensive and defensive processes.
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