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Abstract: The present article aims to investigate the influence of the use of formative and shared assessment processes on students’ motivation and their level of commitment towards body expression contents in the Physical Education subject. 182 students with ages ranging from 12-13 years old participated in a study that was developed along a didactic unit of corporal expression. Following a qualitative methodology, data was obtained through the observation of individual student diaries, the teacher’s diary and a focus group. The results show that a correct use of formative and shared evaluation increases students’ motivation to develop expressive contents as well as their individual and group commitment. Students being able to choose their own teams, the greater amount of freedom that the creative methodology offers them, the permanent knowledge of what is demanded from the student, the playful aspect of the contents, and the provision of feedback are some of the factors that influence increased motivation and commitment levels from students.
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Resumen: El presente artículo tiene como objetivo investigar la influencia del uso de los procesos de evaluación formativa y compartida en la motivación de los estudiantes y su nivel de compromiso con los contenidos de expresión corporal en la asignatura de Educación Física. 182 estudiantes con edades comprendidas entre los 12 y 13 años participaron en un estudio que se desarrolló a lo largo de una unidad didáctica de expresión corporal. Siguiendo una metodología cualitativa, los datos se obtuvieron mediante la observación de los diarios individuales de los estudiantes, el diario del profesor y un grupo de discusión. Los resultados muestran que un uso correcto de la evaluación formativa y compartida aumenta la motivación de los estudiantes para desarrollar contenidos expresivos, así como su compromiso individual y grupal. La posibilidad de que los estudiantes elijan sus propios equipos, la mayor libertad que les ofrece la metodología creativa, el conocimiento permanente de lo que se exige al estudiante, el aspecto lúdico de los contenidos y la administración de retroalimentación son algunos de los factores que influyen en el aumento de los niveles de motivación y compromiso de los estudiantes.
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Introduction

Motivation is vital in order to enhance learning into its maximum potential (Vibulphol, 2016). In certain occasions, the mistake is thinking that the activity recreated is the predominant factor in getting the students involved in the learning process (Clinton & Wilson, 2019). However, the true essence of a training mechanism lies in the establishment of coherent methodological processes that have a solid and cohesive pedagogical basis behind them. Although this is a fundamental pillar in the current education system, it becomes even more relevant in Physical Education (PE), where student learnings have a wide impact on their day to day attitude and actions outside the classroom (Mavropoulou, Barkoukis & Douka, 2019). These learned behaviors not only refer to the level of adherence to physical activity, but also to other factors closely related to the psycho-evolutionary environment, such as their level of social relationships, self-esteem, self-concept or resilience (Karaday & Ilker, 2018).

Therefore, the motivation of the student, in its broadest sense, is the most pursued goal by any teacher. Regardless of the teaching approach that PE teachers use in his or her classes, student involvement towards what they are taught is the most significant variable (Hortigüela-Alcalá & Pérez-Pueyo, 2016). Educating the body includes attending to a large number of variables that are attached to each student previous experiences (Yüksel & Tuncel, 2017). Consequently, in order to achieve motivation there is a series of aspects that must be taken into account, such as the enjoyment of activities, the promotion of competitiveness, the autonomy to carry out tasks, and the establishment of a positive
motivation and commitment of students towards body expression. The goal of the research is to analyze the influence on this type of performance, denouncing the assessment in the same instrument, before a final grade, and on a given assessment procedure (Pérez-Pueyo, Hortigüela-Alcalá, Fernández-Balboa, 2020). Although the rigor of teacher’s training has increased considerably in the last decade, there are still conflicting positions on what its aims and how it should be approached in the classroom, clearly questioning its competence (Pérez-Pueyo, Vicente-Pedraz & Hortigüela, 2019). Consequently, there is no better innovation than focusing on the transformation of those educational realities that generate issues in the subject.

Corporal expression and evaluation are two of the most problematic themes. The best way to solve these conflicts is to search for teaching approaches to provide solutions to the main obstacles that have emerged over time (Luttenberg, Meijer & Olbekkink-Marchand, 2017). Regarding to corporal expression, and according to the same authors, some of these are: a) not developing content that is associated with the collective achievement of the class; b) not generating satisfaction in one’s own corporal experiences; c) not implanting coherent proposals with a sense of longitudinal character. The last one mentioned is one of the main limitations of the projection of these contents, because since they are not applied with a certain logic and continuity, they restrict the obtention of learning results associated with the interventions carried out (Mattsson & Lundvall, 2015). From this standpoint, it becomes especially complex to generate positive experiences in students due to the lack of special relevance given by the PE teacher himself (MacLean, 2018).

On the other hand, evaluation is one of the elements that generate most controversies. One of its major dilemmas is the approach teachers use to evaluate (Hortigüela-Alcalá, Pérez-Pueyo & González-Calvo, 2019).
This teacher is one of the researchers of the study, which, in the context, taught by the usual teacher (33 years old), PhD research was carried out in the Physical Education education in a public high school in Madrid (Spain). The research involved seven groups of the first year of secondary education in a public high school in Madrid (Spain), which consisted of 182 students (95 boys and 87 girls) aged between 12-13 years old, who belonged to the seven groups of the first year of secondary education in a public high school in Madrid (Spain). The research was carried out in the Physical Education context, taught by the usual teacher (33 years old), PhD in Education and with ten years of experience in teaching. This teacher is one of the researchers of the study, which allows us to guarantee the validity of the applied design and a more detailed and in-depth knowledge of the results obtained (Taylor & Bogdan, 1986).

**Instruments**

Three instruments were used for data collection. Firstly, each student kept a personal diary during the development of the teaching unit. This was a semi-structured diary with some suggested questions for each of the four sessions in which the students were asked to complete (Table 1).

| Day 1 | How has your experience been knowing that you were going to be evaluated in three different ways? |
| Day 2 | Have you experienced having to evaluate your classmates? Did you find it difficult? Did you try to benefit or harm them, or did you remain as objective as possible? How have you experienced having to evaluate your own performance? Did you find it difficult? Do you think the grade given to you by your classmates was fair? Do you think the grade given by you to the teacher was fair? |
| Day 3 | How has it been useful for you to have the rating scale during the whole process? |
| Day 4 | How has your experience been knowing that you were going to be evaluated in three different ways? |

Secondly, the teacher completed a classroom diary in which he summarized the most significant aspects of what happened in the sessions, such as the student's response to the teacher's information, intra- and intergroup interaction, or the degree of participation of all the members of the group. Finally, a discussion group was held in which 5 students decided to participate voluntarily at the end of the process. Following a semi-structured design, the dialogues revolved around some questions suggested by the teacher, related to the objectives of the study and the rest of the instruments (Table 2). This discussion group, which lasted around 45 minutes, took place by videoconference using the Google Meet application, due to the interruption of face-to-face classes as a result of the COVID-19 virus.

**Material and method**

**Participants**

The following study involved 182 students (95 boys and 87 girls) aged between 12-13 years old, who belonged to the seven groups of the first year of secondary education in a public high school in Madrid (Spain). The research was carried out in the Physical Education context, taught by the usual teacher (33 years old), PhD in Education and with ten years of experience in teaching. This teacher is one of the researchers of the study, which allows us to guarantee the validity of the applied design and a more detailed and in-depth knowledge of the results obtained (Taylor & Bogdan, 1986).

**Design and Procedure**

In this paper we used a qualitative methodology (Denzin & Lincoln, 2000) framed within an interpretative approach (Albert, 2007; Stake, 2010) in which the most relevant information from the interpretation of the phenomenon under study is communicated (Quintanal & García, 2012). The research has been structured in four different phases, from January to March 2020.

**Phase 1: design and implementation of the didactic**

...
unit (Table 3), carried out from January to March, with a total of 10 lessons. During the first lesson the teacher explained the operating mechanics of the didactic unit, the contents dealt, and the evaluation procedure. Due to the fact that the groups were formed by students from the first year of secondary education, they were not familiar with the formative and shared evaluation, since they come from a different educational stage. Hence, the teacher briefly explained the difference between evaluation and qualification and the process by which a continuous evaluation of the learning would be carried out throughout the unit, with the purpose of being able to reach the objectives established for the unit. Lessons 2 and 3 consisted of introductory group activities associated to body expression, including imitation, interpretation, and improvisational tasks. Emotional expression activities were also accomplished using only the face, and also the body in an integral way. Lesson 4 was completely dedicated to the formation of the groups and the explanation of the rating scale that would be used to evaluate and grade the group performance. This performance had a totally free theme, whose objective was to tell a story using non-verbal language together with the rest of the team members. The students had ten minutes to get together following two criteria established by the teacher: the groups should be between 4 and 5 members, and they should be formed by at least two boys and two girls. Almost all the students were able to group themselves according to the grouping criteria. Those who were not able to do so in the prescribed time were helped by the teacher so that the groups were balanced in number and in gender heterogeneity. The teacher then proceeded to explain the rating scale, giving several copies to each group. He explained what each of the assessable elements consisted of (storyboarding, creative capacity, narrative capacity, expressive capacity, respect for time limits and fluidity and coordination among group members) and the different degrees of compliance that could be achieved in each of them. Students were asked to bring the scale of assessment throughout the process of creation and preparation of the performance, as it would serve as a reference for the work. In lessons 5, 6 and 7 each group worked independently, managing time and distributing tasks in a free and consensual way. The teacher supervised the work of each group and offered help and feedback on a continuous basis. Lesson 8 consisted in the representation of the performances, after which the triadic evaluation was put into practice. Based on the assessment scale (Table 4), each group had to evaluate its own and the rest of the groups’ representations according to the different levels of achievement for each of the assessable items. The teacher also performed an evaluation for each group. Once the evaluations were made, a small debate was formed in order to discuss what had been learned and to establish lines of improvement for the final representation. Lesson 9 was entirely dedicated to the correction of the errors detected in the previous session, using the evaluation scales filled in by the teacher, by the group itself and by the rest of groups. The last lesson was dedicated to the final representation of the performances, evaluating again in a triadic way each one of them and providing a grade using the values given to each evaluateable element and obtaining a final grade resulting from the weighted average of the self-assessment (33.3%), the peer-assessment (33.3%) and the teacher-assessment (33.3%). A similar experience in the previous year showed that there is not much difference between the results of the three types of assessment (Bores-García, 2019).

Phase 2: design and completion of the student’s diary. The students completed four days at home (Day 1, Day 2, Day 3 and Day 4), corresponding to the time after lessons 1, 8, 9 and 10, respectively. These four sessions were chosen because of the content dealt with in them, which gave rise to the questions asked of the students so that they could fill in the personal diaries. In the following lesson they had to hand in the finished diary to the teacher.

Phase 3: design and formation of the discussion group. Once the didactic unit is finished, the discussion group is carried out by 5 volunteer students. Participating in the discussion group required a commitment from the student volunteers to attend. This requirement, coupled with the embarrassment that many students experience in having to explain themselves in front of peers and the teacher knowing that the conversation is being recorded, meant that there were only five volunteers, a good number to conduct a discussion group in which everyone could participate.

Phase 4: analysis of the data obtained adopting the three instruments. Only 30 student diaries were duly completed according to the requirements indicated by the teacher (legible handwriting, correct wording, minimum length of 10 lines, delivery within the established deadline), so only these were analyzed. These requirements were established in order to obtain the richest and deepest information possible, avoiding that the answers of the students were too short and without
any contribution to the purposes of the research. In addition, a deep reflection was made on the objectives of the study and the procedure carried out in it.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3. Sequencing of teaching unit lessons.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lesson 1. Presentation of the DU and explanation of the timing, contents and evaluation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lessons 2-3. Activities of corporeal expressive imitation, representation, rhythm and expression of emotions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesson 4. Formation of the groups (free choice and, in case of disagreement, intervention of the teacher), delivery and explanation of the scale of evaluation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lessons 5-7. Rehearsal and release of the performance by groups.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesson 9. Error correction and performance improvement by groups.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4. Scale of assessment for formative and shared evaluation.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Valuable items</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Storyboard creation</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Duration</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Narrative capacity</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expressive capacity</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Creative capacity</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Flow (coordination)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Results**

The five resulting blocks, which make up the five categories of analysis, in addition to being related to the objectives of the research, emerge from the saturation of coinciding data and ideas, and the thematic axes treatment (Hortigüela-Alcalá, González-Calvo & Pérez-Pueyo, 2020). These categories are represented in the three data collection instruments used (student diaries, teacher diaries and discussion groups), thus ensuring through inter- and intra-instrument triangulation the essential coherence and particularity in all research (Trainor & Graue, 2014). In order to guarantee the transferability, credibility and reliability of the results, a codification of the most significant extracts from the three data collection instruments has been carried out, making use of cross matching patterns (Saldaña, 2006).

**Motivational aspects to face the task**

One of the most predominant motivations of the students is the possibility of working in groups with colleagues with whom they have a good relationship, since the teacher has given them the freedom to organize the groups autonomously.

«What motivates me most is that, for once, I’m in the group with people I like and they’re my friends» (SPD)

«I’m very motivated with my group, because I love who I’m with. They’re good partners» (SPD)

«At first, there was nothing that motivated me... but when...»
we did the groups I was able to work with the ones I wanted and that motivated me quite a lot.» (SPD)

«One of the things that made them most excited when I explained the working procedure was when I told them that they would have a few minutes to form their own groups, as long as they respected the grouping criteria» (TD)

«What motivated me most about the unit was being able to be with the people we wanted.» (FG, student 2)

This grouping strategy increases the anticipation of fun from students which, although it may present some disadvantages from the point of view of class control, facilitates student participation by increasing their motivation.

«...also I'm with my friends and have a great time with them» (SPD)

«It motivates me that I'm going to have fun and spend more time with my friends» (SPD)

The data reflects the desire students have to achieve a good grade in the teaching unit, even if the contribution of this activity to the overall grade of the course is not too significant.

«The mark also motivates me, because I want to get a good mark like in the first evaluation» (SPD)

«Knowing that two thirds of the grade came from what we put about ourselves and the grade our classmates put on us, that was quite motivating» (FG, student 5)

The triadic evaluation procedure is accepted by the students in a heterogeneous way. In one hand, they recognize that this type of assessment offers a more global vision of the learning outcome.

«The grade at the end seems to be more fair from a collective point of view» (SPD)

On the other hand, being evaluated by the teacher gives them security, since there is a certain distrust towards the possibility of being evaluated and qualified by peers:

«The fact that the teacher evaluates me is reassuring because he has specialized in this career and knows what he's talking about. The fact that my classmates evaluate me does not make me enthusiastic either, since we could evaluate them unfairly» (FG, student 3)

«I'm a little nervous about being evaluated by my peers because they might try to hurt me and not give me the grade I deserve» (SPD)

«We're not experts in body language. The teacher is the only one who knows what is right or wrong. What we or our classmates say can be wrong.» (FG, student 1)

The last motivating factor is strongly tied to content. A sector of the students feels rejection towards the more sportive or physical condition contents, but they feel a lot of attraction for the expressive aspects, therefore increasing their motivation towards this didactic unit.

«I was a bit fed up with handball and athletics. I love theatre and that makes me want to do my best for the first time in the course» (SPD)

«I like being able to show that physical education is more than just sport» (SPD)

«The requirement of developing a storyboard for the performance has activated the motivation of some less motorically involved but artistically gifted students who see, at last, how they can be of great help to their group» (TD)

Usefulness of performance simulation using shared evaluation

The representation of lesson 8 in which a simulation of triadic evaluation is made is very positively valued by the students. This simulation method contributes to increasing the possibilities of improvement.

«It has helped me a lot to analyze our failures and to fix them for the final performance» (SPD)

«Being able to ask our colleagues what we did wrong has allowed us to change several things for the final performance» (SPD)

«Being able to do a performance test before the final was very good for us to know what things we needed to improve and make it perfectly in the final assembly» (FG, student 4)

«Although due to time constraints we were not able to stop as long as we would have liked to give feedback and have each group evaluate themselves, it is obvious that all groups were aware that there were quite a few things they could still improve» (TD)

There were coincidental opinions that this performance simulation has helped them to face the final lesson with more confidence, due to the possibility of having previous acting experience in front of peers and the teacher before the final performance.

«Because you've already performed in the simulation, you feel safer and more confident to do it in front of everyone» (SPD)

«It's helped me to get used to performing in public, because it's not the same to do it when everyone is looking at you» (SPD)

The use of the rating scale in this session facilitates the interpretation of the improvement areas for the final performance, which takes place two sessions later.

«The rating scale has been very useful to see where the failures were and what we could do to correct them» (FG, student 3)

«Some of the students didn't read the rating scale carefully, even though we spent almost a whole lesson reading and...»
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explaining it. Nevertheless, assessing themselves and their peers has been good for the next two sessions» (TD)

**Perception of the level of individual and group commitment and learning obtained**

The perception about the level of individual and group commitment is heterogeneous. Some of the students point out this aspect as positive during the whole process:

«All my classmates have also committed themselves and worked just as hard as I did» (SPD)

«...we even have a WhatsApp group to talk and discuss the failures...» (SPD)

«At first we were all a little bit off, but then we started working and we were very much into the project» (FG, student 3)

«The overall level of involvement has been high. Having shared elements such as a common project, a common evaluation and a common rating has served as an incentive for individual and group commitment» (TD)

Other students, however, admit they weren't sufficiently committed or reproaches colleagues in their group for lack of commitment.

«I have to admit that I laughed all the time in the first rehearsals... although I took it seriously afterwards» (SPD)

«There are people who had a hard time taking the piss out of it.» (SPD)

«There were some in my group who were laughing their heads off.» (SPD)

«As always, there is a small percentage of students with little motivation for the task (and for everything in general too, almost always) who either blow up the work of others or remain absent, blowing it up anyway. This has more frequently in groups of students who have not been able to group with whomever they wish, resulting in a group that is too heterogeneous, with students who are more marginalized in the class and, therefore, more unmotivated» (TD)

**Learning from the process**

The learning has been more attitudinal than technical, as far as body expression is concerned. Although there are some students who claim to have improved expressive resources or communication of emotions through gestures, most of them refer to a more relational type or socially related learning.

«It has helped me to get to know better my classmates and realize that some people are not what they seem, because they can be very funny, intelligent, and even very good people» (SPD)

«I've learned that even if you don't get along with a partner, you're going to have to adapt.» (SPD)

«During the process of creation and rehearsal I have learned to listen» (SPD)

«I have expressly decided not to give so much importance to expressive content, but to use it as a tool to achieve other elements of equal or greater value such as respect for oneself and others, effort, mutual commitment, honesty, and transparency» (TD)

**Triadic evaluation and scoring**

The rating scale has played a very relevant role throughout the process, from the first day when the instrument was explained, to the last day when it was used to evaluate and rate.

«It has been useful for me to check if my group and I were doing things right and how we could improve some things» (SPD)

«Giving importance to the rating scale has been a hard task for me, I admit. They're not used to using paper and pencil in P.E. Some groups forgot to bring the grading scale to the rehearsals and I got tired of reminding them of its importance. But in the end I think it has been very useful for them» (TD)

The triadic assessment has been an odd addition for the vast majority of students, who have not harnessed this procedure during their time in primary education. Both peer-assessment and self-assessment have presented certain difficulties to students.

«Evaluating my classmates has been a little difficult, because while I wanted to help them I also wanted to be objective» (SPD)

«Assessing my own performance was strange to me, because we didn’t see what we were doing ourselves» (SPD)

However, the possibility of taking as a criterion what is stated in the rating scale has brought some relief.

«With the rating scale it has been easier to evaluate my colleagues and myself» (SPD)

«Following the sheet (rating scale) has helped me stay objective» (SPD)

«With the assessment sheet it was very easy to know what to assess. Without that sheet we would have looked at other things» (FG, student 4)

Justice and injustice has been a matter of debate in the qualification process. Objectivity is associated with justice and subjectivity with injustice.

«The teacher’s grade did seem fair to me because it was the most objective of all» (SPD)

«I think we've been fair in putting it down because we've been as objective as possible.» (SPD)

«I recognize that we gave a higher grade to ourselves than what we deserved, to help us. The professor’s grade was the fairest.» (FG, student 2)

The results in terms of the score obtained by the groups do not differ much between the three types of
evaluation, as it already happened in the same experience carried out in the same center the previous year.

«Despite being groups with little previous experience in formative and shared evaluation, the final results in terms of grades have been quite balanced. Self-assessment has been somewhat superior to peer-assessment and teacher-assessment, but with little difference» (TD)

Discussion

Taking as a reference the Self-Determination Theory (Deci & Ryan, 2000; Davies, et al, 2015) the results of the previously shown study reflect the importance that students give to the basic psychological needs as a positive influence in their motivation towards the content of body expression in particular. The possibility of choosing one’s teammates in the group represents one of the most motivating factors, hence covering the need for relationship (Erturan-Ylíker, Yu, Alemdaroglu & Köklü, 2018). Thus, working in groups where there is a good interpersonal relationship generates a playful expectation in students that considerably increases their motivation towards the task, in line with what has been pointed out by Baena-Extremera, Gómez-López, Granero-Gallegos & Martínez-Molina (2016). The existence of a common project, in this case the elaboration of a final assembly, acts as an element of group cohesion and gives a specific meaning to group work that increases the feeling of belonging and interdependence, favored by a role of the teacher that is not very controlling and promotes autonomy in the particular processes of each group (De Meyer, Soenens, Aelterman, De Bourdeaudhuij & Haerens, 2016). This autonomy is mediated by the freedom that teachers give to students to make their own decisions, thus increasing their motivation for the task (Reeve, 2006), a fact that is greatly facilitated by the possibility that work on expressive content gives to the use of creative methodologies in which students have large plots of decision and self-regulation capacity (Monfort-Pañego & Iglesias-Garcia, 2015). As the contents of body expression are traditionally welcomed with more enthusiasm by girls (O’Neill, Pate & Liese, 2011), the proposal presented provokes a great acceptance in the students who do not feel attracted by the majority contents in PE, such as sport or physical fitness. The prospect of spending several weeks working on a different content generates an increase in students’ perceived competence, by carrying out activities for which they feel qualified, and in their achievement satisfaction, by checking that they can meet the teacher’s expectations and the activity satisfactorily (Holt, et al., 2019). Therefore, the fulfillment of the three basic psychological needs contributes to an increase of intrinsic motivation (Lukwu & Guzmán, 2011), favoring a positive climate in the classroom that constantly feeds back this motivation and, therefore, learning.

The results show, aligned with the work of López-Pastor, Sonlleva-Velasco & Martínez-Scott (2019), that students confuse assessment with grading when asked about the evaluation processes, their answers go directly to the grade. However, evaluation should be understood by students -also by teachers- as an essential element to attain real learning (Chiappe, Pinto & Arias, 2016). The way in which the formative assessment was used during the process allowed the students to be more focused on the task (Chng & Lund, 2019), knowing that they would have two chances to show their work to the rest of their classmates and to the teacher, while receiving feedback to improve their expressive production. According to recent studies, it has been clearly indicated that the application of formative assessment processes in PE is advisable in order to promote student learning (Chng & Lund, 2018). This learning requires the use of instruments that allow students to self-regulate (Duncan & Buskirk-Cohen, 2011), which occurs most effectively when the possibility of implementing triadic assessment strategies is offered (Hortiguela-Alcalá, Pérez-Pueyo & Abella, 2015). Thus, the use of the assessment scale as an instrument for formative assessment during the development of the entire teaching-learning process favored the knowledge not only of the students’ potentialities, but also of their limitations (Joughin, Dawson & Boud, 2017), allowing students to improve their expressive production from the feedback received. This feedback, traditionally coming exclusively from the teacher, was the result of a triadic evaluation process in which the students themselves, together with their peers and the teacher, valued the process, thus allowing for a rich variety of feedback channels (Wanner & Palmer, 2018). Furthermore, the students acknowledge the assessment scale as a useful tool, and as an element of initial and continuous information on the most important aspects of the process. According to Alonz’s work (2018), it is essential to know in advance what the criteria for evaluating the teaching-learning process will be, as well as the key elements of the process, so that students are aware of what the teacher is expecting from them.
When jumping to the grading step in the last session of the teaching unit, the final grade of the students depends equally on the self-assessment, the peer-assessment and the teacher-assessment. The students consider the teacher’s grade to be the fairest because he or she is the expert on the content, although most of them admit to having made an effort to be as fair as possible. However, according to the existing literature, what would be unfair would be precisely not having students in the assessment process (Santos, 2014). In this case, grading is just one part of a large process of assessment that has to be specifically oriented toward improving the teaching-learning process (Hamodi, Moreno & Barba-Martin, 2018).

The educational proposal in which this research is based requires students to work in small groups. According to the results obtained, students are generally satisfied with both their individual and group commitment and acknowledge having tried to give their best, thereupon increasing the intrinsic motivation of all group members (Keely, 2016). The teacher gives students a great deal of freedom to make their own decisions and to regulate their creative process. The students perceive this confidence from the teacher increased their level of commitment to the task (Archilla-Prat & Pérez-Brunicardi, 2017). A high level of group development and autonomy was demonstrated from the students, as the methodological process is based on an intentional formative and shared evaluation that prioritizes the dialogical processes among the team members and guides the didactic progression towards constant learning in community (Tolgfors, 2018).

Conclusions

The contents of body expression have traditionally been the Achilles’ heel of the teaching of PE. Nonetheless, this study has shown how the application of formative and shared assessment positively influences student motivation and the level of individual and group commitment. Assessment must be associated with and oriented to learning, separating it from the reductionism that has traditionally assimilated it to qualification. Students must know from the beginning of the teaching-learning process what is expected from them, what acquirements they must develop, and how they will be able to progress in it. Formative assessment accompanies students throughout this process, and shared assessment allows them to increase feedback channels in such way that they can handle much richer and more relevant information while taking into account different points of view. The free choice of group mates, the own system of work in small groups and the freedom in the construction of the performance increases the level of motivation of the students. Sharing a common project develops the students’ sense of belonging and interdependence, increasing also their level of autonomy by being able to make their own decisions and self-regulate their progress. Despite the increasingly frequent use of formative and shared evaluation processes, many students continue to confuse the terms evaluation and scoring, treating them as synonyms and stripping evaluation of its formative character. The students are satisfied with the level of individual and group commitment, thanking the trust that the teacher places in them, which increases their feeling of competence and their motivation for the task. One of the limitations of the study is the short duration of the implementation of the formative and shared evaluation process, suggesting for future research an experience that can cover two or more consecutive didactic units. It would also be interesting to compare these results with those that would result from applying this type of evaluation in higher courses. More studies are needed that address expressive content from a constructive perspective, trying to give them the value they deserve within the curriculum of the subject and making it possible that, through them, students can build meaningful learnings for life.
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