# Gross motor development in preschoolers on the islands of Lake Titicaca (3810 m.a.s.l.), Puno, Peru

# Desarrollo motor grueso en preescolares de las islas del lago Titicaca (3810 m s. n. m.), Puno, Perú

\*Angel Anibal Mamani-Ramos, \*Carlos Wyly Dextre-Mendoza, \*Jhonny Jesús Lava Gálvez, \*Gladis Ticona Flores,

\*\*Lisbeth Marutzia Quispe Cruz, \*Fred Torres-Cruz, \*\*Jorge Alberto Quisocala Mamani, \*, \*\*José Damián Fuentes-

López

\*Universidad Nacional Mayor de San Marcos (Perú), \*\*Universidad Nacional del Altiplano (Perú)

**Abstract**. The objective of this study was to analyze gross motor development in preschoolers on the islands of Lake Titicaca, considering the variables sex and age. The study corresponds to the descriptive-comparative cross-sectional design. 57 preschool children (31 boys and 26 girls, age M = 5.0, TD = .54) from the islands of Amantaní, Taquile and Uros, located in the province of Puno (Peru), at an altitude of 3810 m.a.s.l. participated. TGMD-2, Spanish version (reproducibility of .82) was used. The results show an average gross motor development (50.9%), with an age equivalent to six years (M = 6.0, TD = .82), one year above its chronological age (M = 5.0, TD = .54). Differences were found between islands (p = .01 < .05). No gender differences were found in gross motor development (p = .15> .05) or in locomotion development (p = .74> .05), but in object control (p = .00 < .05). , as well as in age (p = .00 < .05). It is concluded that the preschool children from Taquile stand out by presenting a higher – above average development, with an equivalent age of one year and nine months older than their chronological age, being superior to those of Amantaní and Uros, who present an average development and a lower equivalent age. Women show more development than men only in object control. Those of four years present more development than those of five.

Key words: Gross motor development, locomotion, object control, chronological age, equivalent age, preschool, Titicaca Lake.

**Resumen**. El objetivo de este estudio fue analizar el desarrollo motor grueso en preescolares de las islas del lago Titicaca, considerando las variables sexo y edad. El estudio corresponde al diseño transversal descriptivo-comparativo. Participaron 57 niños de etapa preescolar (31 varones y 26 mujeres; edad M=5.0, DT= .54) de las islas de Amantaní, Taquile y Uros, localizadas en la provincia de Puno (Perú), a una altitud de 3810 m s. n. m. Se utilizó el TGMD-2, versión en español (reproductividad de .82). Los resultados demuestran un desarrollo motor grueso promedio (50.9%), con una edad equivalente a seis años (M=6.0, DT= .82), un año por encima de su edad cronológica (M=5.0, DT= .54). Se encontraron diferencias entre islas (p=.01<.05). No se encontraron diferencias de género en el desarrollo motor grueso (p=.15>.05) ni en el de locomoción (p=.74>.05), pero sí en control de objetos (p=.00<.05), al igual que en edad (p=.00<.05). Se concluye en que los preescolares presentan un desarrollo motor grueso promedio con una edad equivalente de un año mayor a su edad cronológica, de los que destacan preescolares de Taquile al presentar un desarrollo superior-por encima del promedio, con una edad equivalente de un año y nueve meses mayor a su edad cronológica, siendo superior a los de Amantaní y Uros, quienes presentan un desarrollo promedio y edad equivalente menor. Las mujeres presentan mayor desarrollo que los varones solo en control de objetos. Los de cuatro años presentan mayor desarrollo que los de cinco.

Palabras clave: Desarrollo motor grueso, locomoción, control de objetos, edad cronológica, edad equivalente, preescolar, lago Titicaca.

### Introduction

Motor development is a continuous and multidimensional process of the human life cycle (Pavez-Adasme et al., 2020). The preschool age constitutes the most abundant space of life in experiences that involve movement and expression. Movement is for the child, from birth, not only a form of expression, but an irreplaceable means by which he discovers himself and the environment that surrounds him, allowing him to function independently (Robinson & Goodway, 2009) , interact with other children, manipulate objects and explore space (Da Fonseca, Garrote, Todoli, & Zenarruzabeitia, 2014).

Motor development constitutes a crucial area within the child's global development (Kodzman, 2019), with childhood being the ideal and determining stage for learning motor skills (Delgado & Montes, 2016; Sánchez, 2019), due to the fact that It is a period of maximum sensitivity and vulnerability to the influence of the environment (Ruiz, 2004). The education of the preschool child, basically, is given in function of the

motor action in that all knowledge –learning–starts from the child's own action on the environment (Celis, 2015). Likewise, Teixeira, Barcala-Furelos, Abelairas-Gomez and Arufe-Giraldez (2015) point out that motor experiences are an essential condition for didactic adaptation in child learning.

Sánchez (2019) defines motor development as the control of body movements through the coordinated activity of nerve centers, nerves and muscles. In the framework of the approaches of Baena, Granero and Ruiz (2010); Gallahue (1987); Kodzman (2019); and Rizzoli et al. (2013), we understand motor development as changes in human motor skills from birth to old age, the result of the interaction between genetic and environmental factors, which is based on biological, psychological and social evolution, resulting in the organic and functional maturation of the nervous system, the development of psychic functions and the structuring of the personality.

Cobos (2007) define gross motor development as the natural process that human beings develop at different stages of their lives, in which synchronized muscle movements are acquired to maintain balance, acquire agility, strength and speed, as well as rhythm. These evolutions are subject to the

Fecha recepción: 28-04-20. Fecha de aceptación: 01-09-20 Angel Anibal Mamani Ramos amamanir@unmsm.edu.pe

maturity of the central and peripheral nervous system, the stimulation levels, the genetic load, the socioeconomic and demographic conditions.

Gross motor development is considered as the basic vocabulary of motor skills, on which the most complex and specialized motor responses are built (García-Marín & Fernández-López, 2020), in order to achieve adequate motor competence, that is, to achieve that the person obtains a set of skills and abilities with which he can carry out complex motor tasks to be effective and efficient in his environment (Luis-de-Cos, Arribas-Galarraga, Luis-de-Cos, & Arruza, 2019; Payne & Isaacs, 2007; Ruíz-Pérez, 2014; Ruiz-Perez et al., 2015).

According to Alcover (2010); Campo (2011); Castañer et al. (2012); Delgado and Montes (2017); Jones, Hinkley, Okely and Salmon (2013); Pons and Arufe (2016), adequate motor competence at preschool age enables the child to: First, successfully cope with the motor challenges of daily life and adapt to the characteristics of a changing environment. Also, it helps the initial development of the nervous system, which determines the neural connections that will be used for the learning of formal skills and the acquisition of knowledge. In addition, it favors the control of his or her the body and a sense of security that, soon, becomes psychological security (both elements are important for personality development). Furthermore, it promotes the acquisition of physical activity behaviors and healthy lifestyle habits, which will accompany the child throughout his life, helping him to prevent a number of diseases such as obesity, stress, etc. Finally, the child will be able to successfully surpass achieve the curricular objectives and have greater social success.

Robinson et al. (2015) argue that adequate motor competence in childhood is an essential factor to get involved in the practice of physical activity; likewise, it predicts the possibilities of its practice in the future (Cano, Oyarzún, Leyton, & Sepúlveda, 2014; González-Gross & Meléndez, 2013; Lopes et al., 2011); that is, it functions as an important health indicator (Barnett & Goodway, 2018; Slater et al., 2010). Physical activity produces greater brain oxygenation, favoring neuronal plasticity, the basis of learning (Bueno, 2015). Healthy habits socialized in childhood, especially that of physical activity, are good predictors of the future in terms of the quality of life of the population (Arribas-Galarraga et al., 2018; Molina, 2018).

In contrast, children with inadequate motor skills experience severe difficulties during their daily activities: they fall frequently, are afraid of physical activity, often drop things, tire quickly when they do physical activity, have poor posture, lack strength, are overweight, have difficulty stopping when running or playing. These difficulties cause episodes of anxiety, depression, loneliness, isolation, rejection, and ridicule in the playground at playtime (Coplan, Findlay, & Nelson, 2004; Nelson et al., 2009; Ruiz, Mata, & Moreno, 2007) or during social participation (King-Dowling et al., 2015), they also cause less participation or even withdrawal from the practice of sports activities (Batey et al., 2013; Cairney, Hay, Veldhuizen, Missiuna, & Faught, 2009; Delgado & Montes, 2017). Children's gross motor development problems can persist throughout their later development (Bornstein & Hendricks, 2013), making it difficult to acquire more complex

skills (Campo, 2011). The most alarming of all this is that these problems are transferred to school performance (King-Dowling et al., 2015), that is, gross motor development problems negatively affect learning processes (Carboni-Román et al., 2006).

The objective of this study, then, was to analyze gross motor development in preschoolers on the islands of Lake Titicaca, considering the variables sex and age.

## Material and method

#### Design

The research design was descriptive, comparative crosssectional.

#### **Participants**

The study included 57 children (Age M=5.0, SD=.54), from a population of 70 (13 children did not participate because they did not attend on the day of the scheduled assessment), from seven public preschool institutions on the islands of Lake Titicaca (Amantaní, Taquile, and Uros; table 1; figure 1), Puno, Peru, located at an altitude of 3810 m above sea level.

Age (TD)	Sex (n-%)
5.0 (.54)	Man (31-54.4) Woman (26-45.6)
Conception of the second	S.
	Age (TD)

Figure 1. Location of the Amantaní, Taquile and Uros islands, Puno, Peru Table 2

Standard result	Gross motor quotient	Motor development level
17-20	Greater than 130	Much higher
15-16	121-130	Higher
13-14	111-120	Above average
8-12	90-110	Average
6-7	80-89	Below average
4-5	70-79	Poor
1-3	Less than 70	Very poor

### Instrument

The Test of Gross Motor Development, Second Edition TGMD-2 (Ulrich, 2000) was considered as a data collection tool. The Spanish version was used (Ayán et al., 2019; Cano-Cappellacci, Aleitte, & Durán, 2015). The TGMD-2 is applicable to children between three to 10 years old, it comprises twelve skills grouped into two sub-tests: locomotion sub-test (running, canter, standing jump, jump, horizontal jump and lateral step) and sub-test Object Control (Stationary Ball Hit, Stationary Dribble, Catch, Kick, Overthe-Shoulder Throw and Below-the-Hip Throw).

The execution of each skill is valued according to qualitative criteria that are scored as zero (0) or one (1) depending on whether they are met or not in two attempts. The criteria for each skill range from 3 to 5. Both the locomotion sub-test and the object control sub-test have 24 criteria, which allow a gross result of up to 48 points. The gross result of the locomotion sub-test and the object control sub-test are each transformed into a standard result; the sum of both gives us the sum of standard results; this result is transformed into a gross motor quotient. The standard result allows determining the level of motor development of locomotion and object control. The motor quotient score allows determining the level of gross motor development. Motor development has seven levels (Table 2): 1) very poor, 2) poor, 3) below average, 4) average, 5) above average, 6) higher, and 7) much higher.

The TGMD-2 used has a reproducibility of Stability Coefficient (Test-retest performed one week later on 18 children representing 32% of the studied population) of .78 locomotion, .82 object control, and .82 score total.

#### Process

The application of the TGMD-2 in the study subjects was developed according to the following route: First, the permission of the responsible authority of the seven participating institutions was managed. Second, the same was done with the permission of the parents through the informed consent form, in which all parents agreed and authorized their children's participation in the study; Likewise, informed assent was applied, so there was children's acceptance to participate in the study. Third, the children were assessed, four at a time, on each of the skills (Attempt 1 and then Attempt 2). The children's performance of the skills was digitally recorded. Fourth, the TGMD-2 examiner's record sheet was completed via videotape viewing. To avoid errors, the video was observed three times for each of the criteria and attempts. The people who applied the instrument are physical education professionals who were previously trained, so they knew perfectly the protocol to follow.

### Data analysis

In order to know and compare the characteristics of gross motor development in preschoolers on the islands of Lake Titicaca, the percentage analysis, the mean and standard deviation were used for the descriptive analysis, and the Anova and T-Test for the inferential analysis. Verification of data normality was given using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Statistical calculations were carried out in Excel and SPSS version 25.

## Results

The highest percentage of gross motor development (GMD) of preschoolers on the islands of Lake Titicaca corresponds to the average level (50.9%), and the same is reflected on the islands of Amantani (53.1%) and Uros (64.3%); while in the preschools of Taquile Island a higherthan-average level is observed (36.4%). With respect to the motor development of locomotion (MDL) at the general level of the islands, the highest percentage corresponds to the average level (73.7%), reflecting the same in the Amantani (71.9%), Taquile (72.7%) and Uros (78.6%) islands. In reference to the object control motor development (OCMD) at the general level of the islands, the highest percentage corresponds to the average level (47.4%), and the same is reflected in the Uros Island (71.4%); while in the preschool of Amantaní island a level above the average - average is observed (43.8%), and in the preschoolers on Taquile a higher level is observed (45.5%) (Table 3).

On the side of the equivalent age, the preschoolers on the islands of Lake Titicaca present a GMD age equivalent of six years (M = 6.0, SD = .82), one year above their chronological age (M = 5.0, SD = .54) The preschoolers on the island of Amantaní have a GMD age equivalent of five years and nine months (M=5.9, TD=.80), one year above their chronological age (M 4.9, TD=.56), one month below the global average equivalent age (M=6.0, TD=.80). Preschoolers on Taquile Island have a GMD age equivalent of six years and six months (M=6.6, TD=.81), one year and nine months above their chronological age (M 4.9, TD=.52), six months above the overall average of the equivalent age (M=6.0, TD=.82). Preschoolers on Uros Island have a GMD age equivalent of five years and eight months (M=5.8, TD= .69), five months above their chronological age (M=5.3, TD= .35), four months below the overall average of the equivalent age, (M=6.0, TD= .82) (Table 4). With respect to the MDL, the preschoolers on the islands present an age equivalent of five years and four months (M=5.4, DT=1.05), four months above the average of the chronological age (M=5.0, DT= .54). Preschoolers in Amantaní present a MDL age equivalent of five years and three months (M=5.3, TD=1.16), six months

Table 3	
Motor development les	vel

T-1-1- 2

	evelopment level			Global					
-		An	nantaní	5	Faquile	1	Uros		riobai
		n % N % r		n	n %		%		
	Below average	1	3.1	0	0.0	2	14.3	3	5.3
	Average	17	53.1	3	27.3	9	64.3	29	50.9
GMD	Above average	11	34.4	4	36.4	3	21.4	18	31.6
	Higher	3	9.4	4	36.4	0	0.0	7	12.3
	Total	32	100,0	11	100,0	14	100,0	57	100,0
	Poor	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	7.1%	1	1.8%
	Below average	5	15.6%	0	0.0%	1	7.1%	6	10.5%
MDL	Average	23	71.9%	8	72.7%	11	78.6%	42	73.7%
MDL	Above average	3	9.4%	3	27.3%	1	7.1%	7	12.3%
	Higher	1	3.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.8%
	Total	32	100.0%	11	100.0%	14	100.0%	57	100.0%
	Average	14	43.8%	3	27.3%	10	71.4%	27	47.4%
MDOC	Above average	14	43.8%	3	27.3%	3	21.4%	20	35.1%
	Superior	4	12.5%	5	45.5%	1	7.1%	10	17.5%
	Total	32	100.0%	11	100.0%	14	100.0%	57	100.0%

Note: GMD = Gross motor development, MDL = Motor development of locomotion, MDOC = Motor development of object control

Table 4 Motor develo

	Ama	Amantaní		Taquile		Uros		obal
	(n=	(n=32)		(n=11)		(n=14)		57)
	М	TD	М	TD	М	TD	Μ	TD
Chronological age	4.9	.56	4.9	.52	5.3	.35	5.0	.54
Equivalent GMD age	5.9	.80	6.6	.81	5.8	.69	6.0	.82
Equivalent MDL age	5.3	1.16	6.0	.79	5.2	.84	5.4	1.05
Equivalent MDOC age	6.5	.80	7.3	1.27	6.5	1.09	6.6	1.01
Note: GMD = Gross motor d	levelopme	ent, MDI	_ = Mot	or devel	opment	of locor	notion,	MDOC

= Motor development of object control

Table 5

	· · · /	n	M	TD	Sig.
	Amantaní	32	108.34	10.30	
CMO	Taquile	11	115.55	9.37	0.01
GMQ	Uros	14	102.79	9.74	0.01
	Total	57	108.37	10.69	
	Amantaní	32	10.19	2.21	
SLR	Taquile	11	11.36	1.80	0.05
SLK	Uros	14	9.29	1.98	0.05
	Total	57	10.19	2.16	
SROC	Amantaní	32	12.47	1.61	
	Taquile	11	13.82	1.83	0.01
	Uros	14	11.64	2.13	0.01
	Total	57	12.53	1.90	

Note: GMQ = Gross motor quotient, SLR = Standard locomotion result, SROC = Standard result of object control

Table 6

Motor development according to sex and age (Test T)

	_		Sex					Age		
		n	М	TD	Sig.		n	М	TD	Sig.
GMQ	Man	31	106.48	10.90	0.15	Four years	23	113.43	9.40	0.00
	Woman	26	110.62	10.19	0.15	Five years	34	104.94	10.26	
CL D	Man	31	10.29	2.34	0.74	Four years	23	11.13	2.07	0.01
SLR	Woman	26	10.08	1.96		Five years	34	9.56	2.00	
SROC	Man	31	11.74	1.59	0.00	Four years	23	13.35	1.80	0.01
SRUC	Woman	26	13.46	1.84	0.00	Five years	34	11.97	1.78	0.01
Jote: $GMO = Gross motor quotient SLR = Standard locomotion result SROC = Standard result$										

of object control

above their chronological age (M 4.9, TD=.56), one month below the overall average of the equivalent age (M=5.4, TD=1.05). Preschoolers on Taquile Island have a MDL age equivalent of six years (M=6.0, TD=.79), one year and three months above their chronological age (M=4.9, TD=.52), eight months above the overall average of the equivalent age (M=5.4, TD=1.05). Uros Island preschoolers have a MDL age equivalent of five years and two months (M=5.2, TD=.84), one month below their chronological age (M=5.3, TD=.35), two months below the overall average of the equivalent age (M=5.4, TD=1.05). In relation to the OCMD, preschoolers on the islands are six years and six months (M=6.6, TD=1.01), one year and six months above the average chronological age (M=5.0, TD=.54). Preschoolers in Amantaní have a OCMD age equivalent of six years and five months (M=6.5, TD=.80), one year and eight months above their chronological age (M 4.9, TD=.56), one month below the overall average of the equivalent age (M=6.6, TD=1.01). Taquile preschoolers have a OCMD age equivalent of seven years and three months (M=7.3, TD=1.27), two years and six months above their chronological age (M 4.9, TD=.52), nine months above the overall average of the equivalent age (M=6.6, TD=1.01). Uros preschoolers have a OCMD age equivalent of six years and five months (M=6.5, TD=1.09), one year and two months above their chronological age (M 5.3, TD=.35), one month below the overall average of the equivalent age (M=6.6, TD=1.01) (Table 4).

The gross motor quotient (GMQ) among preschoolers from the Amantaní, Taquile and Uros islands presents a significant difference (p=.01 < .05, data normality was verified using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, p=.20 > .05), as well as the standard locomotion result (SLR) (p=.01 < .05). Regarding the object control standard result (OCSR), they do not present differences (p=.05 = .05) (Table 5).

Regarding sex, there are no differences between men and women in the GMD (p=.15>.05) and MDL (p=.74>.05), but in the MDOC (p=.00<.05), women have a higher average (M =13.46, TD=1.84) than men (M=11.7, DT=1.59). In the case of age, differences are found both in the GMD (p=.00<.05), MDL (p=.01<.05) and MDOC (p=.01<.05), being the children of four years who present greater motor development (Table 6).

## Discussion

The present study had as objective to analyze the GMD in preschoolers of the islands of Lake Titicaca, considering the variables sex and age. The results show that preschoolers present an average GMD. At the island level, preschoolers from Taquile (higher-than-average) present higher GMD than those from the Amantani (average) and Uros (average) islands, repeating the same at the equivalent age. These findings are similar (except for those of Taquile Island) to those found by Iriarte, Pacheco and Tapia (2018) in Chilean preschoolers (between three and four years old); and Bermudez, Poblete, Pineda, Castro and Inostroza (2018) in Mexican preschoolers (between four and five years old), who also identified an average GMD in the subjects studied. Barrios and Mendieta (2018), in their study of an older Colombian school population (between six and 10 years old), identified a poor GMD, whose results are lower than those found in the study and to those referred to. We did not find results similar to those achieved by preschoolers on Taquile Island. The motor skills presented by the preschoolers from the islands of Lake Titicaca, especially Taquile Island, will allow them to interact efficiently with their peers; it will also allow them to integrate more easily into the practice of physical activities and sports (Cairney et al., 2009; Lopes, Santos, Pereira, & Lopes, 2012; Stodden et al., 2008), with more influence on later stages (Barela, 2013).

As for MDL, the results show that preschoolers on the islands of Lake Titicaca have an average MDL. At the island level, all three present the same MDL (average) and equal to the overall. With respect to the equivalent age, the preschoolers on the island of Taquile present a higher age than those on the islands of Amantani and Uros. These findings coincide with those found by Valentini (2012) in Brazilian preschoolers (between four and five years old); Iriarte et al. (2018) in Chilean preschoolers (between three and four years old); and Martínez (2017) in Colombian preschoolers (5 years old), who also identified an average MDL in the subjects studied. We did not find different findings from the study. In general, preschoolers with these locomotion characteristics present autonomy in their movements (walking, running or jumping) to explore their environment (Molina, 2009; Palau, 2005).

In relation to the OCMD, the results show that preschoolers on the islands of Lake Titicaca have an average OCMD. At the island level, preschoolers on Taquile Island (upper) have a higher average OCMD than preschoolers on Amantani Island (above average-average) and Uros Island (average), with the latter being the least developed. As for the equivalent age, the same result is given. These findings are similar (except for the Taquile and Amantaní islands) to those found by Valentini (2012) in Brazilian preschoolers (between four and five years old); Iriarte et al. (2018) in Chilean preschoolers (between three and four years old); and Martínez (2017) in Colombian preschoolers (five years old), who also identified an average OCMD in the subjects studied. Pope, Liu y Getchell (2011), in their study of vulnerable Hispanic preschoolers (ages 3-4) residing in the United States, and and Amui Goodway, Robinson and Amui (2007), in their study of vulnerable Hispanic and African-American preschoolers residing in the United States, identified a poor OCMD, results that are lower than those achieved in the study and to those referred to. In this contrast, preschoolers on the Taquile and Amantaní islands have a higher OCMD than those identified in other contexts, especially with respect to the U.S. children mentioned. This difference is due to the influence of the environmental factor (García-Marín & Fernández-López, 2020; Guillen et al., 2019), that is, the characteristics of the environment (Castañer et al., 2012). It has been shown that natural spaces favor motor skills in the early stages (Castell, 2020). In this sense, children from the Taquile and Amantaní islands are surrounded by natural spaces and resources, which allows them to interact effectively and efficiently with objects spontaneously (Martínez, 2017), which is not the case especially for children in the United States, who live in an increasingly artificialized environment, an environment that generates little active

behavior (Castell, 2020).

According to sex, both men and women on the islands of Lake Titicaca have a similar GMD and MDL; but different in the OCMD, with women being more developed than men. As for the GMD, Bermudez et al. (2018), in their study of Mexican preschoolers (between four and five years old), found similar results. In contrast, Valentini (2012), in Brazilian preschoolers (between four and five years old), and Iriarte et al. (2018), in Chilean preschoolers (between three and four years old), found different results: in the first case, they found that boys were more developed than girls; and in the second case, they found that girls were more developed than boys. It is worth noting that in both studies similar results were found regarding MDL. Regarding the OCMD, no similar, but different results were found. Pope et al. (2011), in vulnerable Hispanic preschoolers (three to four years old) living in the United States, and Iriarte et al. (2018), in Chilean preschoolers (three to four years old), found that both women and men had similar development. For their part, Valentini (2012), in Brazilian preschoolers (ages four to five), and Goodway et al. (2007), in vulnerable Hispanic and African-American preschoolers living in the United States, found that boys were more developed than girls.

In relation to age, results show that four-year-old preschoolers on the islands of Lake Titicaca have higher GMD, MDL and OCMD scores than the five-year-old ones. The findings found by Valentini (2012) in Brazilian preschoolers (between four and five years old) are contrary to those reached in the study, since five-year-old preschoolers show greater development than the four-yearold ones. No similar results were found.

The various studies related to gross motor development, particularly the present study, do not emphasize the analysis of the results on the basis of the equivalent age, doing so solely on the basis of categorizations, this being one of its main limitations. In the present study we find that it is possible to carry out a more accurate analysis of results using the equivalent age.

We recommend carrying out gross motor development studies that include variables as priority activities that the family environment deals with; culture or lifestyle of the family environment; time parents spend interacting with their children at home and free spaces; access and use of technology by children; occupation of free time; nutritional condition; school performance (reasoning and reading comprehension); socioeconomic level; motor intervention at school; development of social skills; among others, that can explain to us how much one influences the other, so that it is possible to act and intervene efficiently and in a timely manner in the overall development of the preschooler, especially the motor; in such a way that it can positively influence other variables of direct linkage.

## Conclusions

The preschoolers present an average gross motor development with an equivalent age of one year older than their chronological age, highlighting the Taquile preschoolers by presenting an above-average development with an equivalent age of one year and nine months older than their chronological age, being superior to those of Amantaní and Uros, who present an average development and a lower equivalent age.

Regarding the motor development of locomotion, in general, preschoolers present an average development with an equivalent age of four months older than their chronological age. At the island level, they present a similar development, differing in the equivalent age, being superior those of Taquile.

In relation to the motor development of object control, in general, they present an average development with an equivalent age of one year and six months greater than their chronological age, highlighting the Taquile preschool children by presenting a superior development with an equivalent age of two years and six months older than their chronological age, far exceeding those of Amantaní and Uros, the latter being the one with the least development.

Regarding sex, there are only differences in the motor development of object control, being the women who present greater development.

Regarding age, overall, preschoolers with four years of age show more development than those with five.

#### References

- Alcover, E. (2010). Seguimiento del desarrollo psicomotor de prematuros extremos mediante la Escala de Desarrollo Infantil de Kent (EDIK) cumplimentada por los padres y situación neuroevolutiva a los 2 y 5 años [Tesis doctoral, Universitat de Barcelona]. http://www.tdx.cat/bitstream/handle/10803/2505/ EAB TESIS.pdf?sequence=1
- Arribas-Galarraga, S., Luis-de Cos, G., Luis-de Cos, I., & Saies, E. (2018). Chicas adolescentes: Competencia motriz, práctica de actividad físico-deportiva e intención de práctica futura. *Journal* of Sport and Health Research, 10(1), 135–144. http:// www.journalshr.com/papers/Vol 10\_suplemento/JSHR V10 supl 03.pdf
- Barela, J. A. (2013). Fundamental motor skill proficiency is necessary for children's motor activity inclusion. *Motriz. Revista de Educacao Fisica*, 19(3), 548–551. https://doi.org/10.1590/ S1980-65742013000300003
- Barnett, L., & Goodway, J. (2018). Perceptions of movement competence in children and adolescents from different cultures and countries: A commentary. *Journal of Motor Learning and Development*, 6, S474–S480. https://doi.org/10.1123/jmld.2018-0018
- Barrios, L., & Mendieta, L. (2018). Desarrollo motor en niños de la básica primaria de la Institucion Educativa Tecnica Jimenez de Quesada de Armero Guayabal Tolima [Tesis de maestría, Universidad de Tolima]. http://repository.ut.edu.co/bitstream/001/ 2723/1/T 0945 681 CD6190.pdf
- Batey, C. A., Missiuna, C. A., Timmons, B. W., Hay, J. A., Faught, B. E., & Cairney, J. (2013). Self-efficacy toward physical activity and the physical activity behavior of children with and without Developmental Coordination Disorder. *Human Movement Science*, 36, 258–271. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.humov.2013.10.003
- Bermudez, M., Poblete, F., Pineda, A., Castro, N., & Inostroza, F. (2018). Nivel de desarrollo motor grueso en preescolares de México sin profesores de educación física. *Revista Ciencias de La Actividad Física*, 19(1), 75–81. https://doi.org/10.29035/ rcaf.19.1.8
- Bornstein, M. H., & Hendricks, C. (2013). Screening for developmental disabilities in developing countries. *Social Science & Medicine*, 97, 307–315. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2012.09.049
- Bueno, D. (2015). *Neuroeducación: por otra escuela [video]*. Universidad de Bacelona. https://www.youtube.com/ watch?v=QiRqCKUiRDc

- Cairney, J., Hay, J. A., Veldhuizen, S., Missiuna, C., & Faught, B. E. (2009). Developmental coordination disorder, sex, and activity deficit over time: A longitudinal analysis of participation trajectories in children with and without coordination difficulties. *Developmental Medicine and Child Neurology*, 52(3), 67–72. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8749.2009.03520.x
- Campo, L. (2011). Características del desarrollo adaptativo en niños de 3 a 7 años de la ciudad de Barranquilla. *Psychologia*, 5(2), 95– 104. https://doi.org/10.21500/19002386.1136
- Cano, M., Oyarzún, T., Leyton, F., & Sepúlveda, C. (2014). Relación entre estado nutricional, nivel de actividad física y desarrollo psicomotor en preescolares. *Nutricion Hospitalaria*, 30(6), 1313– 1318. https://doi.org/10.3305/nh.2014.30.6.7781
- Carboni-Román, A., Del Río Grande, D., Capilla, A., Maestú, F., & Ortiz, T. (2006). Bases neurobiológicas de las dificultades de aprendizaje. *Revista de Neurologia*, 42(2), 171–175. https:// doi.org/10.33588/rn.42s02.2005832
- Castañer, M., Andueza, J., Sánchez-Algarra, P., & Anguera, M. T. (2012). Performance, Extending the analysis of motor skills in relation to Laterality, And. In O. Camerino, M. Castañer, & M. T. Anguera (Eds.), *Mixed Methods Research in the Movement Sciences: Case Studies in Sport, Physical Education and Dance* (pp. 119–145). Routledge. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1080/ 13573322.2014.969911
- Castell, C. (2020). Nature and health: a necessary alliance. *Gaceta Sanitaria*, 34(2), 194–196. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaceta.2019.05.016
- Cobos, P. (2007). El desarrollo psicomotor y sus alteraciones: Manual práctico para evaluarlo y favorecerlo. Pirámide.
- Coplan, R., Findlay, L., & Nelson, L. (2004). Characteristics of Preschoolers with Lower Perceived Competence. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 32(4), 399–408. https://doi.org/ 10.1023/B:JACP.0000030293.81429.49
- Delgado, L., & Montes, R. (2017). Relación entre el desarrollo psicomotor y la práctica de deporte extraescolar en niños/as de tres a seis años. Sportis. Scientific Journal of School Sport, Physical Education and Psychomotricity, 3(1), 598. https://doi.org/ 10.17979/sportis.2017.3.1.1770
- García-Marín, P., & Fernández-López, N. (2020). La competencia de las habilidades motrices en la educación infantil. Apunts. Educación Física y Deportes, 3(141), 21–32. https://doi.org/https:// /doi.org/10.5672/apunts.2014-0983.es.(2020/3).141.03
- González-Gross, M., & Meléndez, A. (2013). Sedentarism, active lifestyle and sport: Impact on health and obesity prevention. *Nutricion Hospitalaria*, 28(5), 89–98. https://doi.org/10.3305/ nh.2013.28.sup5.6923
- Goodway, J., Robinson, L., & Amui, H. (2007). Needs assessment of the object control skills of African American and Hispanic preschoolers who are at risk. *Journal of Sport & Exercise Psychology*, 29, 29–30.
- Guillen, L., Rojas, L., Formoso, A. A., Contreras, L. M., & Estevez, M. A. (2019). Influencia de la estimulación temprana en el desarrollo sensorio - motriz de niños de cuatro a seis años: una visión desde el Karate Do. *Retos*, 35, 147–155. https://recyt.fecyt.es/ index.php/retos/article/view/63104/40836
- Iriarte, Á., Pacheco, S., & Tapia, N. (2018). Desarrollo motriz de niños y niñas preescolares según índice de masa corporal normapeso, sobrepeso y obesidad de dos jardines dependientes de Junji, Viña del Mar [Tesis de licenciatura, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso]. http://opac.pucv.cl/pucv\_txt/txt-5500/UCC5683 01.pdf
- Jones, R., Hinkley, T., Okely, A., & Salmon, J. (2013). Tracking Physical Activity and Sedentary Behavior in Childhood. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 44(6), 651–658. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.amepre.2013.03.001
- King-Dowling, S., Missiuna, C., Rodriguez, M. C., Greenway, M., & Cairney, J. (2015). Co-occurring motor, language and emotionalbehavioral problems in children 3-6years of age. *Human Movement Science*, 39, 101–108. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.humov.2014.10.010
- Lopes, L., Santos, R., Pereira, B., & Lopes, V. P. (2012). Associations

between sedentary behavior and motor coordination in children. *American Journal of Human Biology*, 24(6), 746–752. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajhb.22310

- Lopes, V., Rodrigues, L., Maia, J., & Malina, R. (2011). Motor coordination as predictor of physical activity in childhood. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports*, 21(5), 663–669. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0838.2009.01027.x
- Luis-de-Cos, G., Arribas-Galarraga, S., Luis-de-Cos, I., & Arruza, J. A. (2019). Competencia motriz, compromiso y ansiedad de las chicas en Educación Física. *Retos*, 36, 231–238. https:// recyt.fecyt.es/index.php/retos/article/view/64243
- Martínez, M. (2017). Nivel del desarrollo motor grueso (manipulación y locomoción). 12° Congreso Argentino de Educación Física y Ciencias. Universidad Nacional de la Plata. http:// www.memoria.fahce.unlp.edu.ar/trab\_eventos/ev.10300/ ev.10300.pdf
- Molina, F. (2018). Educación física, calidad de vida y la nueva sociología de la infancia: Repensando la Metodología Mixta en Sociología. *Retos*, 33, 69–73. https://recyt.fecyt.es/index.php/retos/ article/view/51510/38061
- Molina, R. (2009). El desarrollo de la densopercepción. Innovacion y Experiencias Educativas, 45(15), 1. https://doi.org/1998-6047
- Nelson, L., Hart, C., Evans, C., Coplan, R., Roper, S., & Robinson, C. (2009). Behavioral and relational correlates of low self-perceived competence in young children. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly*, 24(3), 350–361. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.ecresq.2009.06.002
- Palau, E. (2005). Aspectos básicos del desarrollo infantil: la etapa de 0 a 6 años. Ediciones CEAC.
- Payne, G., & Isaacs, L. D. (2007). Desenvolvimento Motor Humano. Uma Abordagem Vitalícia. Guanabara Koogan.
- Pons, R., & Arufe, V. (2016). Análisis descriptivo de las sesiones e instalaciones de psicomotricidad en el aula de Educación Infantil. *Sportis Scientific Technical Journal*, 2(1), 125–146. https:// ruc.udc.es/dspace/bitstream/handle/2183/17711/ SPORTIS 2 1 2016 8.pdf
- Pope, M. L., Liu, T., & Getchell, N. (2011). Object-Control Skills in Hispanic Preschool Children Enrolled in Head Start. *Perceptual* and Motor Skills, 112(1), 193–200. https://doi.org/10.2466/ 10.11.17.24.PMS.112.1.193-200
- Robinson, L. E., Stodden, D. F., Barnett, L. M., Lopes, V. P., Logan, S. W., Rodrigues, L. P., & D'Hondt, E. (2015). Motor Competence and its Effect on Positive Developmental Trajectories of Health. *Sports Medicine*, 45(9), 1273–1284. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s40279-015-0351-6
- Ruíz-Pérez, L. M. (2014). De qué hablamos cuando hablamos de competencia motriz. Acción Motriz, 12, 37–44. http://oa.upm.es/ 35394/
- Ruiz-Perez, L., Rioja-Collado, N., Graupera-Sanz, J., Palomo-Nieto, M., & García-Coll, V. (2015). GRAMI-2: Desarrollo de un test para evaluar la coordinación motriz global en la educación primaria. *Revista Iberoamericana de Psicología Del Deporte y El Ejercicio*, 10(1), 103–111. http://oa.upm.es/41206/1/ INVE\_MEM\_2015\_227791.pdf
- Ruiz, L., Mata, E., & Moreno, J. (2007). Los problemas evolutivos de coordinación motriz y su tratamiento en la edad escolar: estado de la cuestión. *European Journal of Human Movement*, 18, 1–17.
- Slater, L. M., Hillier, S. L., & Civetta, L. R. (2010). The Clinimetric Properties of Performance-Based Gross Motor Tests Used for Children with Developmental Coordination Disorder: A Systematic Review. *Pediatric Physical Therapy*, 22(2), 170–179. https:// doi.org/10.1097/PEP.0b013e3181dbeff0
- Stodden, D. F., Langendorfer, S. J., Goodway, J. D., Roberton, M. A., Rudisill, M. E., Garcia, C., & Garcia, L. E. (2008). A developmental perspective on the role of motor skill competence in physical activity: An emergent relationship. *Quest*, 60(2), 290–306. https://doi.org/10.1080/00336297.2008.10483582
- Valentini, N. C. (2012). Validity and reliability of the TGMD-2 for Brazilian children. *Journal of Motor Behavior*, 44(4), 275–280. https://doi.org/10.1080/00222895.2012.700967