Impact of regular physical activity and sports on school performance among girls and boys aged between 6 and 10 years
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Abstract. This longitudinal study seeks to show if sport has an impact on the school results and also on cognitive functioning, and if this causal link is significantly important. An experimental group of 55 students that practice out-of-school sports, at a rate of 3 times a week and a reference group of 55 students that don’t practice out of school sports, their age is between 6 and 10 years old, both male and female from an elementary schools of the town of Oran (Algeria), they were followed during 15 month (five quarters). The socio-demographic data were collected by a questionnaire intended for the students of the two groups, and the administrative school results at the end of each quarter. An intelligence test of the CPM (Raven’s Coloured Progressive Matrices) was administered at the end of the fifth trimester to assess the main cognitive abilities in both groups. Students that practice out-of-school sports have general school averages and a significantly higher level of intelligence than students that don’t (p < 0.05) where sport girls have overall academic averages significantly higher than sport boys (p = 0.025). As noted by many similar studies, the practice of a physical-activity and sport regular seem to have a very positive impact on the academic performance and also on cognitive functioning.

Keywords: Academic performance; Cognitive functioning; Physical-activity and sport; Provided education for children; Raven’s Coloured Progressive Matrices (CPM).

Introduction

The practice of the physical exercise was classically associated with improvements of the metabolic functions such as cardiovascular, ventilator, hormonal (Donnelly & Lambourne, 2011; Haapala et al., 2017). Recent evidence shows how the effects of the physical-activity on the brain can create positive results as the improvement of the attention, the memory and the executive functions (De Greeff et al., 2018). The daily physical-activity improves the output of the students and supports their academic success, stimulates their memory, their direction of observation and develops their capacity to solve problems and to make decisions; it also clearly controls the problems of behavior, by adopting a positive attitude and by having the direction of the creativity (Álvarez-Bueno et al., 2016; Álvarez-Bueno et al., 2017; Bangsbo et al., 2016).

We cannot think that the simple sport promotes the development of appropriate values, it is necessary to work intentionally this aspect so that the relationship is positive (Aguado et al., 2015).

It is suggested that the beneficial effects of the physical-activity on the school output are ascribable to the improvement of the cognitive functions, such as the attention, the concentration and the working memory (Bezold et al., 2014; Esteban-Cornejo et al., 2014; Rasberry, 2011; Resaland et al., 2016).

This study seeks to show if the regular sports activity has a positive impact on the school results and if this causal link is significantly important.
Methods

Population

On the whole, 110 students of the two sexes from 6 to 10 years old of 16 elementary schools, in class of second and third year with return to school 2015/2016 were selected. Students who were involved in this study after the written approval by their parents and the administration of the school, were divided into two groups: the experimental group (55 students) which profits from 3 weekly sessions of physical-activity and sport out-of-school and the reference group (55 students) which does not practice any out of school physical-activity and sport.

Experimental protocol

A survey previously distributed to all teachers confirmed and validated the choice of the classes concerned: each class had to include experienced sports students, members of sports clubs and non-sports students.

A direct interview with each student was conducted in order to select the two groups, the same age, the same sex and the same socio-demographic level.

These two groups were followed from the first to the fifth trimester during two school years (2015-2016 and 2016-2017), by means of a survey submitted after each quarter: the document contains socio-demographic, school and sports data for each student which is as follows:

- The social level (working and non-separated parents, number of siblings, no health problems, average number of sleeping hours per night)
- School averages out of 10 (quarterly and both subjects: mathematics and Arabic language), non-doubling, no tutoring;
- School and extracurricular sports (nature and time);

In addition, a test on cognitive functioning (the CPM: Raven’s Coloured Progressive Matrices) (Raven (1998)) was carried out by the two groups of students (sporting students and non-sporting students) after the last trimester (fifth quarter) and treated by a clinical psychologist.

Statistical method

Statistical analyzes were carried out on the SPSS software. Comparisons of means were made by statistical tests of the type Mann-Whitney U (2 samples) and ANOVA at one factor. The comparison between the two groups and the level of intelligence were measured by the Likert scale. For all the tests carried out the threshold of significance was set at p<0.05.

Results

Statistically and according to the Mann-Whitney U test, the difference is very significant (p < 0.05) for all school averages between the two groups (Figure 1).

There is a large difference in the overall school averages between sporting students and non-sporting students. The general quarterly, mathematics and Arabic language averages of the five quarters of the sports group are significantly higher than those of the non-sporting group (Figure 1).

In fact, sporting students have a general quarterly average of five quarters of 8.93 out of 10 against 5.99 out of 10 among non-sporting students. For the general averages of the five quarters of mathematics and the Arabic language, the sports group has a general average of 9.16 out of 10 against 4.77 in mathematics and 8.88 against 4.89 out of 10 in Arabic language.

Thus, the correlation between the overall average of the five quarters and the overall average of the five mathematics scores (r = 0.72) is very strong. There is also a strong correlation (r = 0.81) between the overall average of the five quarters and the overall average of the five scores of the Arabic language. That is, the relationship between the overall quarterly average of the five quarters and the overall average of the 5 scores of the Arabic language is stronger than the relationship between the overall quarterly average of the 5 quarters and the overall average of the five marks of mathematics.

For sporting students, the quarterly average is constant from the first to the fifth quarter. While among non-sporting students, the quarterly average is decreasing from the first to the fifth quarter particularly in the 4th and 5th quarter (Figure 2).

In the sports group, the general school averages of mathematics and the Arabic language are constant in the five quarters. While in the non-sports group there is a sharp decrease in the average in mathematics (from 5.43 to 3.96) and also for the Arabic language (from 5.28 to 4.4) in the five quarters (Figure 3).
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Figure 1: Comparison by the Mann-Whitney U Test of the general school averages of the 5 quarters (out of 10) of the two sports and non-sports groups * P < 0.05

Figure 2: The evolution of the quarterly general averages of the 5 quarters (scores out of 10) of the two sports and non-sports groups

Figure 3: The evolution of the general school averages of mathematics and the Arabic language of 5 quarters (scores of 10) of the two sports and non-sports groups
Statistically and according to the Likert scale, the difference is very significant \( (p < 0.05) \) between the two groups for the level of intelligence. The Contingency coefficient \( C_c = 60\% \). Sports students have an average intelligence «Above average» and non-sports students have a mean intelligence average.

There is a big difference in the level of intelligence between sports students and non-sports students (Table 1). It can be seen that 16.40% of sports students have a «Superior» level of intelligence compared to 0% of non-sports students. In addition, the level of intelligence «Above average» is 54.50% among sports students against 0% among non-sports students. In the non-sporting group, the level of intelligence «Medium» dominates with 85.50% against 29.10% at the sports group. Finally, we note that 1.80% of non-sports students have a level of intelligence «Subnormal» (equals a student) against 0% in the sports group (Table 1).

According to the Mann-Whitney \( U \) test, in the sports group the overall quarterly average of girls is significantly higher than that of boys \( (p = 0.025) \), and they are higher for each quarter (Table 2).

According to the \( \chi^2 \) test of independence, there is a significant difference \( (p = 0.024) \) in the level of intelligence between girls and boys in the sports group: 77.80% of girls are in the category «Superior» compared to only 22.20% for boys (Table 3).

### Table 1: Comparison of general quarterly averages between girls and boys in the sports group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Quarter 1</th>
<th>Quarter 2</th>
<th>Quarter 3</th>
<th>Quarter 4</th>
<th>Quarter 5</th>
<th>General quarterly average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boys</td>
<td>7.40</td>
<td>7.58</td>
<td>7.94</td>
<td>8.87</td>
<td>8.91</td>
<td>8.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girls</td>
<td>7.77</td>
<td>7.84</td>
<td>7.74</td>
<td>8.99</td>
<td>9.21</td>
<td>9.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* \( *P < 0.05 \)

### Table 2: Comparison of general quarterly averages between girls and boys in the sports group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of intelligence</th>
<th>Sports students</th>
<th>Non-sports students</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Superior</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above average</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>83.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Below average</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subnormal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3: Level of intelligence between girls and boys in the sports group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Level of intelligence</th>
<th>Numbers</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Superior</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>22.20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Above average</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>66.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boys</td>
<td>Numbers</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>22.20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>66.67%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girls</td>
<td>Numbers</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>25.00%</td>
<td>38.20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Discussion

Students who practice regular physical activity and sports, and although from different schools, have a general school average that is higher than that of non-practitioners. Thus, it appears that regular physical activity can improve concentration and academic performance, or even improve math, reading and writing scores (Donnelly et al., 2017; Haapala et al., 2017). The students who do physical activity perform better in school than those who do not (Singh et al., 2012; Van Dusen et al., 2011). In our study, this positive effect seems to be lasting since it is observed during the five school years of the study (Schmidt et al., 2017). On the other hand, the high level of intelligence level of sports students located «Above average» (54.50%) confirms to some extent the influence of regular physical and sports practice as well on the achieving better academic results than cognitive functioning.

There is a difference in school averages between boys (8.83 / 10) and girls (9.09 / 10) (Tremblay, Inman & Wilms, 2000), this can be explained by the fact that girls are more applied in their studies. The difference is much more pronounced for the level of intelligence: 77.80% of the girls are in the category «Superior» against only 22.20% for the boys: thus the influence of the practice of a physical activity and sports The regular diet seems to have a greater impact on the cognitive aspects of girls compared to those of boys. Some recent studies have noted this finding (Donnelly et al., 2016).

In April 2017, 24 researchers from 8 different countries and different disciplines made a consensus statement on the benefits of physical activity on fitness, health, cognitive operation, the commitment, the motivation, the psychological wellness and the social inclusion of the children and the young ages from 6 to 18 years (Bangsbo et al., 2016; Morales et al., 2011). A positive association between the programs of physical exercises and academic performance has been reported more or less regularly in the literature and meta-analyses (Álvarez-Bueno et al., 2016; Howie and Pate, 2012; Lambourne et al., 2013; Norris et al., 2015; Watson et al., 2017).

One of the elements which contribute to this durable influence seems to be the volume and the intensity of the meetings of sports (Marques et al., 2017; Oliveira et al., 2017; Tompkins et al., 2012; Wittberg et al., 2012). The very positive and stable school results in the time of the sporting students represent an additional argument to encourage the sporting practice out-of-school in the children (Stevenson et al., 2017). This observation is more marked in mathematics compared to the Arab language (Álvarez-Bueno et al., 2017). Admittedly the beneficial of physical-activity and sporting impact on the health of the young people is undeniable, like his effect on the mental one and the social relations (Coe et al., 2013; Oliveira et al., 2017; Tomporowski et al., 2015), nevertheless we think that there is in our schools of the factors which are determining in this irrefutable fact. The over-populated classes, of the teachers little trained (and sometimes not formed by the whole) in pedagogy and didactic, a motivation to study very low students make that the latter do not concentrate very well in their training what lead them to school results very right means. To this must be added the sedentariness of the majority of school children in elementary schools that do not benefit from physical activities (or very little) either inside the school or out of school. In this direction the current hot lines for the physical-activity recommend that the children must take part in moderate regular physical-activities with vigorous during 60 minutes or more each day (Rasberry et al., 2011). The results of a psychological test (WISC: Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children) on intelligence show that students who receive 5 hours of physical education per week scored higher than students who received the minimum of 40 minutes of physical education per week (Janssen et al., 2011). This represents an additional argument for encouraging out-of-school sports activities for children. Not only the children do not reach the level of physical-activity recommended, but the schools also
Contribute to this culture of physical inactivity (Donnelly & Lambourne, 2011; Morales et al., 2011). During last years, many school systems eliminated the recreations and / or physical education from their program of studies because of the increasing pressure to increase the school results (Watson et al., 2017).

The regular physical-activity could contribute to improve the intellectual abilities (Marques et al., 2017; Oliveira et al., 2017; Van der Niet et al., 2014). It also improves the cognitive functions, in particular the concentration and the memorizing which one knows the importance in the processes of training (Donnelly et al., 2016; Esteban-Cornejo et al., 2015; Janssen et al., 2014; Maureira & Diaz, 2017; St-Louis-Deschênes & Ellemberg, 2013; Van der Fels et al., 2015). However the positive influence of this factor on the school behavior in general and results in particular is established for a long time (St-Louis-Deschênes & Ellemberg, 2013; Schmidt et al., 2017).

Recent research shows the need to increase the physical-activity at the children and the teenagers which can be critical for the inversion of the current trends of the disease and also for the improvement of academic success (Bass et al., 2013; Chen et al., 2013; Telford et al., 2012; Tompkins et al., 2012). The children who are in physical good condition could succeed until twice better on academic tests than those who are not in good physical condition (Sevvarsson et al., 2017).


**Conclusion**

The regular physical-activity and sport deserve to be largely encouraged for the children, taking into account its benefits not only on health, but also on academic performance and cognitive functioning. It would be interesting in future research to determine what is the most influential component of physical activity and sport on school results to help educators (parents, teachers ...) to improve early learning.
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