Low level of physical fitness is an early feature in preschool children with autism

El nivel bajo de la aptitud física es una característica temprana en niños preescolares con autismo
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Abstract. Background: Physical fitness level is a potent biomarker of health from an early age. Physical activity and Physical fitness have a similar influence on health outcomes including overweight. However, Physical activity and Physical fitness in children with autism spectrum disorders have received little attention. The purpose of this study was to evaluate physical fitness in preschool children with ASD. Methods: A total of 31 children, aged 3 to 6 years, participated in this study: 13 children with ASD (Age=50.23±7.62 months, 2 girls and 11 boys) and 18 children without ASD (Age=51.33±11.06 months, 4 girls and 14 boys). A fitness test battery was employed. Results: Children with ASD have lower performance in physical fitness tests. However, no significant differences were found in anthropometrics characteristics, physical activity time, screen-based time and physical functioning. Conclusions: Preschoolers with ASD show an early deterioration in physical fitness. Physical activity programs at pre-school level are necessary to stimulate these abilities in children with ASD.
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Introduction

Physical fitness (PF) level is a potent biomarker of health from an early age (Ortega, Ruiz, Castillo & Sjostrom, 2008). Moreover, physical activity (PA) and PF have a similar influence on health outcomes including overweight (Rauner, Mess & Woll, 2013). However, PA and PF in children with autism spectrum disorders (ASDs) have received little attention. In addition, children with ASD may be at increased risk for overweight and obesity (Dreyer Gillette et al., 2015), but little information is known about correlates of overweight and obesity in preschool children with ASD in relation to PA, PF and sedentary behaviour.

A scarce number of studies suggest that PA may be especially beneficial to children with ASD including improved of physical, physiological, psychological and behavioural functioning (Dickinson & Place, 2014; Pan, 2011; Sorensen & Zarrett, 2014).

The preschool years are characterized by significant changes in the acquisition of locomotors, object control skills and nervous system maturation (Tanaka, Hikihara, Ohkawara & Tanaka, 2012). Although the differences in motor development are not considered primary diagnostic categories for ASD (Lai, Lombardo, & Baron-Cohen, 2014; Pan, 2011), various studies have indicated that the movement skills of children with ASD reflect deficits (Staples & Reid, 2010), and they showed lower PF and PA than children without disabilities (Pan, 2011; Tyler, MacDonald & Meneur, 2014). In this regards, individuals with low motor competence had less optimal levels of overall health and fitness indices than those with high motor competence (Cantrell, Crawford & Tish Doyle-Baker, 2008).

Many of the studies on PA in children with ASD have focused on children above 7 years old (Sorensen & Zarrett, 2014); therefore, little research on preschool children (Bandini et al., 2013; MacDonald, Lord & Ulrich, 2014) was found. Considering the facts and information mentioned above, the purpose of this study is to analyse the anthropometric characteristics, PF, PA and sedentary behaviour in preschool children with ASD.

Material and method

Participants

A total of 31 children, aged 3 to 6 years, participated in this study: 13 children with ASD (Age=50.23±7.62 months, 2 girls and 11 boys) and 18 children without ASD (Age=51.33±11.06 months, 4 girls and 14 boys). Diagnoses of ASD were obtained by a trained clinical psychologist based on DSM-IV (American Psychiatric Association, 2000), the Autism Diagnostic Interview-R (Catherine Lord, Rutter & Le Couteur, 1994) and the Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule (Lord et al., 2000). Participants were recruited via local schools and the local association of autism. Inclusion criteria were: to be in good health and free from diseases or disorders that could affect PA (e.g., diabetes, asthma), moreover, exclusion criteria were: show no verbal skills to communicate with the researchers. Parents voluntarily signed an informed consent form for the participation of their children in this study. The study was completed in accordance with the norms of the Declaration of Helsinki (2013 version). The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of Jaen (Jaen, Spain).

Materials and testing

Height (cm) was measured with a stadiometer (Seca 222, Hamburg, Germany) and weight (Kg) with a bascule (Seca 899, Hamburg, Germany). Body mass index (BMI) was calculated by dividing weight (in kilograms) by height2 (m). Waist circumference (WC) was measured by using a SECA Ergonomic Circumference Measuring Tape SE201 (SECA, Germany). Moreover, we used the fitness test battery by Latorre et al. (2015), which is focused on testing basic components of PF, such as endurance (10 x 20 m), strength (standing broad jump), sprint (20 m) and balance (flamingo). Additionally, handgrip strength was assessed by manual dynamometer (electronic hand dynamometer, model: EH101). Physical activity time and screen-based time were registered by parental report using the Kreece Plus questionnaire (Serra Majem, Aranceta Bartronaq, Riba Barba, Sangil Monroy, & Pérez Rodrigo, 2003). Physical functioning was registered by factor 1 of the Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory in its Spanish version (González-Gil et al., 2012) which is composed of 21 items comprising 4 dimensions: Physical functioning, Emotional functioning, Social functioning and School functioning. Physical functioning is composed by 8 items scoring of 5-point Likert scale from 0 (Never) to 4 (Almost always).
Procedure

In three separate sessions, a team of previously trained researchers performed the evaluation. In the first testing session, handgrip strength (two trials of each hand, left and right); the average of both hands was registered), the standing broad jump test (two trials, the best trial was registered) and the balance test (two trials with left and right leg; the average of both legs was registered) were performed. Two-days later, the 20 m sprint (two trials, the best trial was registered) and 10 x 20 m tests (one trial) were performed. Prior to the testing sessions, children performed a typical warm-up consisting of 5 minutes of low-intensity running, and 5 minutes of general exercises (high skipping, leg lexions, lateral running, front and behind arm rotation, and sprints). The children also performed some familiarization trials for the balance assessment and the horizontal jumping. Each child was individually assessed. The research team conducted a demonstration. The children were motivated and encouraged to reach the best score possible in every test.

Statistical Analysis

Data were analysed using SPSS v.19.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, U.S.A.) and the significance level was set at p<0.05. The data are shown in descriptive statistics for mean and standard deviation (SD). Tests of normal distribution and homogeneity (Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Levene’s respectively) were conducted on all data before analysis. Differences between children with ASD and children without ASD were analysed using analysis of variance (ANOVA).

Results

Table 1 shows the anthropometric variables. There are no significant differences between the two groups of children in any of the variables analysed. Physical fitness, PA time, screen-based time and physical functioning are shown in Table 2. Children with ASD have lower performance in all PF tests. However, no significant differences were found in PA time, screen-based time and physical functioning.

Table 1. Anthropometric variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ASD Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Without ASD Mean (SD)</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>17.93 (3.47)</td>
<td>17.38 (3.74)</td>
<td>-2.128-3.281</td>
<td>0.666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>105.75 (5.46)</td>
<td>106.16 (5.97)</td>
<td>-0.832-2.463</td>
<td>0.420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m2)</td>
<td>16.67 (2.59)</td>
<td>16.53 (2.02)</td>
<td>-0.938-2.454</td>
<td>0.368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC (cm)</td>
<td>56.06 (7.31)</td>
<td>52.44 (7.92)</td>
<td>-1.426-8.875</td>
<td>0.153</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ASD=autism spectrum disorders. SD=standard deviation. WC=waist circumference. BMI=body mass index.

Table 2. Fitness, PA time, screen-based time and physical functioning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ASD Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Without ASD Mean (SD)</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Standing broad jump (cm)</td>
<td>24.28 (18.11)</td>
<td>34.92 (22.56)</td>
<td>-5.447-10.008</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handgrip strength (kg)</td>
<td>1.10 (0.47)</td>
<td>1.52 (2.02)</td>
<td>0.371-2.229</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sprint (s)</td>
<td>7.64 (0.88)</td>
<td>7.53 (0.71)</td>
<td>1.305-2.519</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiorespiratory endurance (s)</td>
<td>126.99 (63.23)</td>
<td>73.93 (7.89)</td>
<td>22.441-83.671</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance (s)</td>
<td>1.67 (1.08)</td>
<td>4.79 (4.93)</td>
<td>-5.984-2.266</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time spent on screen-based media (hours per day)</td>
<td>1.83 (0.89)</td>
<td>1.53 (0.38)</td>
<td>-0.560-0.686</td>
<td>0.348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activities (times per week)</td>
<td>1.08 (1.70)</td>
<td>1.93 (1.79)</td>
<td>-2.221-0.509</td>
<td>0.209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical functioning (0-100)</td>
<td>76.98 (21.88)</td>
<td>79.26 (13.18)</td>
<td>-15.751-11.197</td>
<td>0.731</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ASD=autism spectrum disorders. SD=standard deviation.

Discussion

The aim of this study was to analyse anthropometric characteristics, PF, PA and sedentary behaviour in preschool children with ASD. The most important finding of this study was that preschool children with ASD showed worse PF than children without ASD. Moreover, non-significant differences were found in relation to anthropometric characteristics, PA time, screen-based time and physical functioning.

Considering anthropometric variables, as for the BMI, children with ASD are located in approximately the 50th percentile and the group without ASD in the 25th percentile (Caracasa Leczano et al., 2008), which is a state of normal weight. Previous studies on children with ASD at school age have not found significant differences in anthropometric variables from children with typical development (Sandi & Frey, 2005; Tyler et al., 2014). Nevertheless, de Vanek-Baroody et al. (2015) showed that the prevalence of overweight and obesity in children with ASD was 33.9% and 18.2%, respectively; therefore, ASD was associated with a higher risk of obesity (but not overweight). Moreover, Niederer et al. (2012) showed association between BMI and fitness level in preschool children. In this regards, Okely, Booth and Chery (2004) noted that BMI and WC were significant predictors of fundamental motor skills in children and adolescents. In relation to WC, clinical cut-offs used to determine normal levels were: waist>90th percentile for age and sex (Fernandez, Reddem, Petrobelli, & Allison, 2004). In the current study, neither the children with ASD nor those without ASD showed abnormal levels of waist circumference (Maffei, Petrobelli, Greziani, Provera & Taio, 2001). Finally, in accordance with Kondri et al. (2013), this study found no association between BMI, WC and PF.

On the other hand, in the current study, there were no significant differences in time employed with screen-based media, physical functioning and time of PA between children with ASD and typical development. However, Mazurek and Wenstrup (2013) showed that children with ASD spent more hours per day playing video games compared with their typically developing siblings. Moreover, Gillett et al. (2015) specified that children with ASD engaged in PA less than children without ASD; however, no differences were found in most measures of screen time. In this regards, Bandini et al. (2013) showed that children with ASD have similar levels to typically developing children in PA registered by accelerometry; nevertheless, analysing the information through parental report, children with ASD are involved in fewer PA.

In relation to PF, a previous study in children with ASD revealed that they showed worse performance in handgrip strength and flexibility tests, but not in aerobic capacity (Tyler et al., 2014). In this regard, Kern et al. (2013) indicated that handgrip strength in children with ASD was significantly lower than children without ASD; so, children with ASD have muscle weakness, and the results provide support for the use of handgrip strength as a tool for the assessment of targeted treatment in ASD. Other researchers revealed that, in children with ASD, the movement skills and motor development deficits also reflect delays (Prevost, Lopez & Heimerl, 2007; Staples & Reid, 2010). Likewise, Pace & Bricout (2015) found that children with ASD exhibit lower PF than peers with typical development; specifically, showed lower results on the plate tapping test, vertical and broad jump tests, sit up test, flamingo balance test, handgrip strength and heart rate response.

Although the physical-motor condition is not considered a primary diagnostic category of ASD, in this study we found significant deterioration of PF in preschool children with ASD. The clinical implications of these findings suggest that preschool children with ASD should receive full development assessments including the evaluation of PF to promote health, prevent overweight and obesity.

One limitation of this study is the small number of subjects analysed. Another limitation of the present study is its cross-sectional design; so caution must be exercised when interpreting the observed associations. More studies are needed to provide adequate evidence of causality. However, this is a novel study since it is focused on a very special population: preschool-aged children with ASD. New studies should advance understanding of the associations with physical-motor condition in children with ASD, establishing in turn possible differences between sexes and analysing the possible predictive capacity of PF in ASD in early age.

Conclusions

In conclusion, pre-schoolers with ASD show a significant deterioration in PF. Physical activity programmes at pre-school level are necessary to stimulate these abilities in children with ASD.
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