The Coach's Role in Understanding the Athletes' Condition: Maximizing Communication Functions

Introduction

Sports coaching is described as an environment that helps athletes achieve personal progress, especially achievement (Laurie Grealish 2000). Coaching is described as a professional practice, where in the coaching process the role of the coach determines the athlete's success both in terms of the athlete's quality and skills (Gould, Dieffenbach, and Moffett 2002; Jacox 2016). As an athlete's competitiveness and sporting success increases, the time the athlete spends with the coach will also increase (Gulli et al. 2020), the meaning of this statement means that coaches have an important influence on athletes, coaches can even intervene in athletes' eating and sleeping habits, so that a good coach not only guides their physical condition but can also act as a dietitian, counsellor and health expert. Because a coach has a significant impact on an athlete's psychological and physical development, the interaction between coaches and players has been studied in great detail (Purnomo et al. 2021).

Positive relationship between a coach and an athlete exists when there is mutual complementarity (i.e., cooperative behavior that is interchangeably friendly, easy-going, and responsive), commitment (i.e., thoughts and intentions aimed at maintaining the relationship over time), and closeness (i.e., feelings of trust, respect, and appreciation) between the coach and the athlete (Shortt and Shortt 2005; Weckesser et al. 2021) A coach's primary responsibility is to enable their athletes to achieve maximum levels of performance. Therefore, coaches need to motivate athletes and create the right conditions for training (Akbar, Abd Karim, et al. 2024; Bissett, Krosthus, and Hebard 2020; Marheni et al. 2024). Coaches who understand their athletes have many skills regarding the potential their athletes have, for example, coaches need to be good communicators and have
knowledge about the training process, training methods, training principles and assessment procedures related to the sport the athlete is involved in (Haryanto et al. 2024).

Coach instruction becomes the dominant coaching style during practice, which involves coaches communicating to control their athletes towards certain standards (Purnomo et al. 2021), coach-athlete conversations outside of practice must also be built on other intentions to achieve a relationship built on mutuality. And many problems arise between coaches and athletes due to dishonest communication regarding things that have happened or are being felt (Maurice et al. 2021), this also includes communication about eating patterns (Voelker et al. 2022). As a result, the fundamental components of the communication process comprise a minimum of four viewpoints, including the capacity to listen intently to athletes and ask open-ended questions; moreover, the significance of the coach’s instructional conduct is crucial in the context of sports coaching (Bruce 2013; Isoard-Gauthier et al. 2016; Jowett et al. 2017; Moen and Kvalsund 2012). A coach’s understanding of athletes does not necessarily arise due to the experience that the coach has. A superior coach generally also undergoes coaching training sessions in an effort to increase knowledge about athlete development. Programs for coach education enhance coaches’ perspectives on their relationships with athletes (Haugan et al. 2021). Coach education programs are one of the keys to creating competent coaches, as is the case with implementation in developed countries, improving sports science to the selection and training of talented sports coaches who have been successful in international competitions, this has become one of the scientific foundations for successful training throughout the world (Bicici, Savas, and Vatan 2009). The coach education program prioritizes the ability to lead and develop coaches, where in this process communication skills become the main foundation in maximizing athlete performance.

When it comes to dealing with a psychological crisis or during a performance, athletes view communication with coaches as equally crucial. The ability of a coach to communicate may affect an athlete’s self-awareness, self-confidence, anxiety, self-autonomy, and motivation in a good or bad way (Cranmer and Brann 2015; Jermaina et al. 2022; Kim and Park 2020; Miller, Franken, and Kiefer 2007; Rojca 2010) Additionally, compared to the sub-dimensions of team sports, a study finds that the coach-athlete connection in individual sports includes favorable sub-dimensions of intimacy, dedication, and praise (Gullu et al. 2020). The closeness of coaches and athletes in individual sports is considered closer than in team sports. In individual sports, it is easier for coaches to evaluate athletes’ strengths and weaknesses, especially those related to mental problems and training and competition performance (Purnomo et al. 2024). The coach-athlete connection is the main instrument used by coaches to teach young athletes life skills, whereas transformational leadership behavior is theoretically linked to beneficial developmental outcomes in the setting of youth sports (McGee 2016; Moen 2014; SA, CM, and CA 2022; Vella, Oades, and Crowe 2013). The success of a sports team is always related to the athlete’s mastery and perception of the coach, especially in youth sports (Dimyati et al. 2023).

Success of athletes in competitions is attributed to the coach’s training methods, wherein the coach takes the lead in enhancing the athlete’s skill and mindset. Football players’ pleasure has a strong predictive influence on the coach-athlete connection, according to research findings, and players’ trust in their coach acts as a mediator in the relationship. These findings offer a fresh viewpoint on the connection between athlete happiness, coach-coach trust, and these three factors. participants in athletic leagues (Li, Gao, and Hu 2021; Moen 2014). According to a different study, psychological elements that enable coaches to form excellent relationships with their players will have an impact on the athletes’ overall satisfaction (Lafrenière et al. 2011). One of the most essential factors in a coach’s evaluation of an athlete is their communication connection. This is because coaches and athletes have various interpretations of training scenarios, which may have a significant impact on how an athlete’s performance develops (Macquet and Stanton 2014). Additionally, the coach’s subjective well-being was favorably predicted by good emotions derived from the athlete-coach interaction (Lafrenière et al. 2008).

Athletes’ needs for competence, relatedness, and autonomy are positively impacted by the actions of their coaches, who also provide structure and engagement. This helps to develop the athletes’ internal and external motivation (Mageau and Vallerand 2003; Miller et al. 2007). The athlete’s self-determined intrinsic and extrinsic motivation is positively impacted by the coach’s presentation of the psychological process. Communication abilities were also found to be adversely correlated with athlete fatigue and favorably correlated with the coach-athlete connection in another study (Choi, Jeong, and Kim 2020). Another crucial factor in determining whether an athlete’s performance is at its best or not is the coach’s comprehension of the many stress reactions that athletes display and how they impact the athlete (Thelwell et al. 2017). Understanding an athlete’s mental state requires knowing how they express themselves through practice or performance (Akbar et al. 2023). When confronted with rival competitors or their training regimen, athletes who are under stress typically exhibit stiff facial expressions and struggle with concentration.

The relationship between the coach and the athlete is improved, and the athlete feels more satisfied with sports when they use communication techniques (Davis and Jowett 2014; Davis, Jowett, and Tafvelin 2019). In particular, coaches and athletes may be able to "broaden" their perspectives and ultimately "build" a mutually beneficial relationship that can elicit positive emotions like interest, joy, happiness, and enthusiasm if they are aware of proactive strategies (e.g., steps to clarify expectations) and reactive strategies (e.g., cooperation during discussions regarding disagreements). The study’s findings demonstrate that coaches focus on an athlete’s physical attributes and motor behavior in addition to
offering possibilities for mental health improvement and positive reinforcement in relation to an athlete’s success (Mueller et al. 2018). In order to promote long-term athlete accomplishment, the coach-athlete connection needs to be built on the coaches’ qualities of calmness, patience, and understanding. Athletes react differently to information they receive based in large part on their ability to interact with other athletes. Effective communication among coaches is positively correlated with competence and emotional intelligence, which enhances athletes’ mental health during their athletic careers.

**Materials and Methods**

**Design**

The purpose of this study is to provide a detailed and accurate description of the circumstances surrounding the coach’s comprehension of the athlete’s condition. To conduct this research on the coach’s role in understanding athletes’ condition and maximizing communication functions, a selective study approach will be employed. Selective studies are one of the most common forms of psychological research based on the use of sampling survey methods, and their fundamental distinguishing feature is the use of self-report techniques to collect empirical information (in particular, through interviews and questionnaires). In a sample of participants, it is assumed to represent a population, whose elements determined by some sampling plan, to investigate population characteristics. Study using a design to illustrate study findings is known as descriptive quantitative research (Ato, López, and Benavente 2013). Research that monitors, observes, and describes the number of samples based on events that occur throughout the research is known as descriptive quantitative research, and it uses this method to reach conclusions (Creswell and Creswell 2018).

The study will focus on gathering data from a specific group of coaches and athletes to draw meaningful insights and conclusions. Quantitative research design involves the systematic collection and analysis of numerical data, often with the goal of discovering patterns, correlations, and cause-and-effect relationships. This approach enables researchers to draw objective conclusions and make generalizations that can be applied across different contexts.

**Respondence**

A total of 173 (124 men, 49 women) from various sports ((1) accuracy; (2) martial arts; (3) games; (4) measurable) participated in this research. The average age of participants was 20.07. Nearly fifty percent (n = 89) of participants had experienced a relationship with a target coach that lasted less than 1 year; the other fifty percent (n = 84) had experienced a relationship of more than 1 year with a coach.

**Instrument**

In this research, the Coach Confirmation Instrument was used (Cranmer, Brann, and Weber 2017). Challenge and acceptance together accounted for 68.82% of the variation in the first trial. The 11-item challenge factor yielded a Cronbach’s alpha score of $\alpha = 0.95$ and contributed 58.86% of the total. The four-item acceptance factor yielded a Cronbach’s alpha value of $\alpha = 0.92$ and contributed 6.88%. The Cronbach’s alpha values in the second research were $\alpha = 0.89$ and $\alpha = 0.93$, respectively (Graham and Mazer 2020).

**Data analysis**

After that, a straightforward linear regression test was used to assess and evaluate the study data. The amount to which one independent variable, predictor variable, or variable $X$ influences the dependent variable, dependent variable, and dependent variable, or variable $Y$ is determined using a simple linear regression analysis. In this instance, coach confirmation ($Y$), gender, sport participation and kind ($X$), and other data are employed.

**Results**

Based on the findings of the conducted investigation. Thus, information on the communication function is acquired. After that, a straightforward linear regression test was used to examine the data. Prior to doing the linear regression test, a normalcy test will be performed as a requirement. Table 1 below shows the results of the normalcy test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Normality Test (Shapiro-Wilk)</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CFI</td>
<td>0.894</td>
<td>&lt; .001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gender</td>
<td>0.579</td>
<td>&lt; .001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sports involvement</td>
<td>0.576</td>
<td>&lt; .001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>type of sport</td>
<td>0.572</td>
<td>&lt; .001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: A low p-value suggests a violation of the assumption of normality

Based on the normality test (Shapiro-Wilk) on gender, sports involvement and type of sport ($X$) and also coach confirmation ($Y$), the values obtained were all $> 0.05$, which states that the research data is normally distributed. Apart from that, it can also be seen in the $p$ calculation results, all of which state that the normality test results are $<0.001$, which means that the resulting data is indeed normally distributed. This implies that athletes’ perceptions of confirmation from their coaches in the research context have a distribution pattern that is not much different from a normal distribution pattern, and then allows for further statistical analysis assuming normality of the data.

**Table 2. Gender Coefficients with Coach Confirmation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Unstandardized Coefficients</th>
<th>Standardized Coefficients</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Sig</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Std. Error</td>
<td>Beta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>-1.16</td>
<td>.800</td>
<td>-.110</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Dependent Variable: Coach Confirmation
Gender: (1) male (2) female
The results of calculating table 2 coefficients, obtained constant numbers from unstandardized coefficients. The results obtained a value of 68.362. This number is a constant number which means that if there is no Gender (X), the consistent Coach Confirmation (Y) value is 68.362.

The results of calculating table 1 coefficients, the regression coefficient figures obtained a value of -1.162. This number means that for every 1% increase in gender level (X), coach confirmation (Y) will increase by -1.162. Because the regression coefficient value is minus (-), it can be said that Gender (X) has a negative effect on Coach Confirmation (Y).

Because the calculated t value is -1.164 < 1.653, it can be concluded that H0 is rejected and Ha is accepted, which means that "There is no Influence of Gender (X) on Coach Confirmation (Y)". The calculated t value of -1.164 is considered smaller than the t table value of 1.653 in simple liner regression analysis.

Apart from looking at the research results based on the calculated t value, it can also be seen from the results of the sig value, which obtained a significance value (Sig.) of 0.148 > 0.05, so it can be concluded that H0 is accepted and Ha is rejected, which means that there is no influence of Gender (X) on Coach Confirmation (Y).

The results of calculating table 3 coefficients, obtained constant numbers from unstandardized coefficients. The results obtained a value of 68.438. This number is a constant number which means that if there is no Sports involvement (X), the consistent Coach Confirmation (Y) value is 68.438.

The results of calculating table 1 coefficients, the regression coefficient figure obtained a value of -0.938. This figure means that for every 1% increase in the level of Sports involvement (X), coach confirmation (Y) will increase by -0.938. Because the regression coefficient value is minus (-), it can be said that Sports involvement (X) has a negative effect on Coach Confirmation (Y). So, the regression equation is \( Y = 68.438 - 0.938X \).

Because the calculated t value is -1.164 < 1.653, it can be concluded that H0 is rejected and Ha is accepted, which means that "There is no influence of Sports involvement (X) on Coach Confirmation (Y)". The calculated t value of -1.164 is considered smaller than the t table value of 1.653 in simple liner regression analysis.

Apart from looking at the research results based on the calculated t value, it can also be seen from the results of the sig value, which obtained a significance value (Sig.) of 0.431 > 0.05, so it can be concluded that H0 is accepted and Ha is rejected, which means that there is no influence of Type of Sport (X) on Coach Confirmation (Y).

Based on the calculation results of these three data tables 1, 2 and 3), it is stated that there is no significant relationship between gender, sports involvement and type of sport on Coach Confirmation. This means that gender will have the same impact on receiving coach confirmation. Likewise with sports involvement and type of sport.

The results of contribution of each dimension non-academic performance of student athletes in table 8 showing relative contribution 35% Perceptions from faculty, peers, and the student athlete, 30% Academic experiences, and 35% Awareness of influencing factors.

**Discussion**

Because the focus is on positive growth and development (i.e., "being the best") as an athlete/coach and as a person, a good coach-athlete relationship is holistic (Sophia Jowetts 2005). The basis of coaching is the connection between the coach and the athlete; it is neither an add-on or by-product of coaching, nor is it dependent on the athlete's age, gender, or performance (Akbar, Karim, et al. 2024). Athletes and...
coaches consciously work to build relationships based on a developing understanding and respect for one another’s uniqueness. The three most effective leadership behaviors are intellectual stimulation, suitable role modelling, and individual concern. By utilizing organic learning opportunities, coaches who get training in youth sports contexts can promote good developmental outcomes from both team triumphs and team failures (Bennie and O’Connor 2012; Vella et al. 2013). In a sports organization, a coach’s leadership reflects the performance of their squad. Since coaches have a lot of influence over the performance of the athletes they teach, the focus is mostly on the players’ training habits.

In sports coaching, the guidelines that govern behavior (e.g., by showing respect for one another) and "business" behavior (e.g., by being willing to teach and receive instructions) between coaches and athletes help to reduce interpersonal conflict (e.g., arguments) while offering athletes benefits (e.g., happiness) (Jowett and Carpenter 2015). This claim demonstrates how the interpersonal factor that determines the caliber of the connection between a coach and an athlete often works to maximize rewards and decrease conflict. When the athlete’s desired coaching conduct, the coach’s actual coaching behavior, and the demands of the scenario align, the athlete-coach connection is effective. The coach’s understanding and ability related to empathy is an important component of a positive relationship between coach and athlete as a form of social support (Maurice et al. 2021). According to research, an athlete has a higher likelihood of being satisfied with their performance outcomes if their coach matches their conduct with their preferred communication style. This demonstrates how individual coach, player, and environmental aspects influence behavior, perceptions, and responses; hence, the efficacy of communication techniques varies depending on each individual (Foulds et al. 2019; Westfall, Martin, and Gould 2018). An athlete would typically respond in a positive and cooperative way, for instance, if they see coaching action as encouraging and helpful (or vice versa). The study’s findings demonstrate that commitment, which refers to the cognitive bond between coaches and athletes, is defined as a willingness to uphold close and lasting relationships. Closeness, on the other hand, refers to the positive relationship that exists between coaches and athletes, which is reflected in mutual trust and respect, emotional care and support, as well as interpersonal liking and appreciation (Jowett and Cockerill 2003; Li et al. 2021).

One indicator of an athlete’s performance advancement is the strength of the relationship between the coach and the athlete, particularly when the athlete is under pressure during a competition (Hampson and Jowett 2014; Jackson and Beauchamp 2010; Norman and French 2013). Athletes evaluate this as dyadic coping based on interpersonal skills while speaking with coaches about training stress triggers in an attempt to defend oneself (Staff, Didymus, and Backhouse 2020). Athlete happiness and the coach-athlete connection are two important criteria that have been linked to particular leadership styles via a lot of research on the behavior of coaches and players (Fouraki et al. 2020). In competitive sports, when emotions are strong due to high stakes and uncertain outcomes, good communication and acceptable behavior can provide players with a "time release" to concentrate on improving their performance. Conflicts arising from playing sports include parents being overly or underinvolved in their child’s participation, administrators having high expectations of coaches, disagreements over team selection, power struggles between athletes and their coaches, disagreements over training protocols (e.g., workload, goals, technique), and even the coach interfering with the athlete’s personal life (e.g., lifestyle, other people) (Giulianotti 2011; Karimi et al. 2018; Kerwin, Walker, and Bopp 2017; Ros-Morente et al. 2022; Wachsmuth, Jowett, and Harwood 2016). One of the most important components of effective coaching results is the quality of the relationship between coach and athlete. Coaching is frequently seen as a setting in which coaches function to bring about significant improvements in athlete performance and well-being (Jowett 2017). So, in this case the coach needs to have an understanding that an athlete is an individual who has a life other than his professional career in sports.

A good coach-athlete connection where each athlete feels heard, respected, and connected may therefore be supported by the notion that giving players a voice is a crucial part of establishing a psychologically secure environment. Regardless of the athlete’s gender, psychological safety refers to the quality of the coach-athlete connection and athlete communication (i.e., the ability to be open, honest, and transparent and manage interpersonal conflict well). These results highlight the fact that athletes can feel psychologically safe and be able to participate in risky interpersonal interactions (e.g., admitting mistakes, raising concerns) in a group setting without fear of intimidation or humiliation. This creates a psychologically safe group environment that encourages athletes to be committed and cooperative with their coaches, as well as to have honest conversations and resolve conflicts with them (Jowett et al. 2023). The study’s findings show that the efficacy construct is independently linked to a variety of favourable relationship-oriented outcomes for the establishment and upkeep of successful coach-athlete relationships at the highest level (Jackson, Knapp, and Beauchamp 2009; Jowett 2008). Building a partnership based on mutual support and ensuring that both players and coaches may realize their full sporting potential are important aspects of effective communication.

Conclusion

A solid, trustworthy connection may be developed between an athlete and coach via honest and constructive communication. This fosters an atmosphere where athletes feel at ease asking for advice and where instructors may offer crucial assistance. Effective communication is a viable means of resolving conflicts that may emerge within an athletic situation. To stay focused on the same objective, athletes and coaches must feel at ease talking about problems and finding
constructive ways to resolve disagreements. Both coaches and athletes sometimes have to make snap choices during practice or on the field. In these kinds of scenarios, excellent communication enables them to work together and raises the likelihood that the judgments they make will be the right ones. Athletes can be motivated in part by coaches’ encouraging words and constructive feedback. Athletes are more likely to put in a lot of effort and succeed when they believe that their coaches understand and encourage them. Athletes may provide coaches feedback on what is working and what needs to be improved through open communication. This aids instructors in enhancing training plans and provide more advice on how to assist athletes enhance their skills.

Limitations

The present study's findings suggest that further research is desperately needed to understand how formal mentorship affects community coach education programs, how connections between coaches and athletes are reciprocal, and how interpersonal knowledge is developed. This study investigates and sheds light on the influence of formal mentorship in coach education programs as well as the little-known phenomena of reciprocity in coach-athlete dyads. All things considered, the research to far highlights the complexity and unpredictability of interpersonal interactions and points to the need for more study. The study’s key findings suggest that in order for coach education programs to be effective, they should focus on how both coaches and athletes see each other as well as coach-centered skills and methods for developing, preserving, and mending relationships with athletes.
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